

<b>SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4</b> <b>Performance Indicator Results 2016-17</b> <small>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</small>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP	FAMILY GROUP 1 (Rural)				
						8000	8001	8072	8145	8055
					Ideal Position	Aberdeenshire Council	Angus Council	Argyll & Bute Council	Scottish Borders Council	Dumfries & Galloway Council
Ref	Indicator									
	<b>SCOTS headline financial PI</b>									
0.1.01 (PI 63)	Total expenditure by carriageway network length (£ per Km)	Y	H	PI	♦	£4,747	£5,808	£5,747	£4,726	£3,669
	<b>Customer Service</b>									
3.1.01 (PI 37)	% of customer enquiries/requests for service closed off within Council's own identified response times.		H	PI	↑	No data	No data	84.99%	89.88%	No data
3.2.01 (PI 38)	% of abnormal load notifications dealt with in time.		H	PI	↑	96.17%	No data	100.00%	100.00%	No data
3.3.01 (PI 61)	% of enquiries made under the Freedom of Information Act that were dealt with within the allowable time		H	Stat	♦	95.96%	No data	76.92%	100.00%	No data
3.3.02	Total number of enquiries received under the Freedom of Information Act		H	Stat	♦	99	No data	39	50	No data
	<b>Carriageways</b>									
	<b>Safety</b>									
1.1.01 (PI 03a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times.		M	PI	↑	No data	100.00%	76.92%	No data	100.00%
1.2.01 (PI 39)	% of safety inspections completed on time.		H	PI	↓	78.33%	No data	85.25%	No data	95.65%
1.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		M	Stat	↑	No data	21	26	No data	11
1.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat	↓	191	31	68	178	221
1.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of carriageway		H	Stat	↓	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.05
1.4.01 (PI 114)	% of carriageway network subject to precautionary salting treatment	Y	H	Stat	♦	30.69%	33.23%	51.91%	38.80%	36.00%
1.4.02	% carriageway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	♦	12.39%	33.23%	9.65%	38.80%	48.36%
1.4.03	Route efficiency (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat	↓	66.75%	64.45%	48.52%	57.82%	74.44%
1.4.04	Average route length (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	♦	79.31	93.40	79.71	71.29	111.94
1.4.05	Total actual length treated with precautionary treatment (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat	♦	179,629	9,391	87,914	176,809	61,167
1.4.06	% top priority routes completed on time (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	♦	99.85%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
1.4.07	Total salt usage by total network length		M	Stat	↓	4.58	5.62	4.97	7.33	1.37
1.4.08	Total salt usage by total actual precautionary treated length		M	Stat	↓	0.14	1.08	0.13	0.12	0.09
1.4.09	Average salt usage (tonnes) per precautionary run		M	Stat	↓	4.90	35.12	5.05	4.54	7.77
1.4.10	The stated (policy) time for completion of treatment of your highest priority routes (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	♦	2.50	0.00	2.00	2.50	4.00
1.4.11	The stated (policy) time for mustering (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	♦	1.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	<b>Condition/Asset Preservation</b>									
2.1.01 (PI 40)	% of carriageway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	H	PI	↓	24.93%	31.23%	54.20%	46.60%	46.48%
2.1.02 (PI 41)	% of carriageway length treated	Y	H	PI	♦	4.17%	3.32%	4.50%	2.11%	5.29%
2.3.01	% of carriageway area – surface dressed		H	Stat	♦	3.69%	2.45%	5.14%	1.32%	3.73%
2.3.02	% of carriageway area – thin/micro surface (up to 25mm)		H	Stat	♦	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2.3.03	% of carriageway area – thin overlay (>25mm – 60mm)		H	Stat	♦	0.03%	0.34%	0.64%	0.36%	0.49%
2.3.04	% of carriageway area – moderate overlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat	♦	0.03%	0.00%	0.00%	0.10%	0.15%
2.3.05	% of carriageway area – structural overlay (>100mm)		H	Stat	♦	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2.3.06	% of carriageway area – thin inlay (up to 60mm)		H	Stat	♦	0.01%	0.38%	0.11%	0.18%	0.00%
2.3.07	% of carriageway area – moderate inlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat	♦	0.10%	0.14%	0.00%	0.08%	0.00%
2.3.08	% of carriageway area – structural inlay (>100mm)		H	Stat	♦	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.05%	0.00%
2.3.14	% of carriageway area – planned patching		H	Stat	♦	0.06%	0.00%	0.09%	0.19%	0.18%

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP	FAMILY GROUP 1 (Rural)				
PIN	8000				8001	8072	8145	8055		
Ideal Position	Aberdeenshire Council				Angus Council	Argyll & Bute Council	Scottish Borders Council	Dumfries & Galloway Council		
Ref	Indicator									
2.3.09	% of carriageway area – fully reconstructed		H	Stat	⬆	0.09%	0.01%	0.04%	0.00%	0.00%
2.3.10 (PI 02d)	% of "A" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	24.80%	21.61%	44.60%	35.52%	33.94%
2.3.11	% of "B" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	21.41%	33.31%	63.35%	42.16%	34.52%
2.3.12	% of "C" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	18.52%	27.70%	60.23%	42.82%	43.81%
2.3.13	% of "U" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	30.25%	34.96%	52.89%	54.74%	56.82%
	Financial									
6.1.01 (PI 42a)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length	Y	H	PI	⬇	£3,399	£3,737	£3,936	£3,289	£2,735
6.1.02 (PI 57)	Total cost per Km of carriageway travelled for precautionary salting treatment		M	PI	⬇	£13.37	£147.44	£10.42	£5.44	£13.81
6.1.03 (PI 42b)	Total carriageway contractor maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length (excluding client cost)		H	PI	⬇	£3,075	£3,652	£3,252	£3,089	£2,735
6.1.04 (PI 42c)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by square metres of carriageway area treated		H	PI	⬇	£15.18	£20.30	£16.23	£29.11	£10.18
6.3.01	Total cost of addressing total backlog by road length		H	Stat	⬇	£16,008	£27,435	No data	£30,825	£46
6.3.02	Total cost of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£3,967,476	£1,663,431	£124,070	£3,013,444	£167,297
6.3.03	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		H	Stat	⬇	£8,371	£256	£7,601	£13,861	£16,692
6.3.04	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£2,127	£1,335	£3,006	£1,959	£1,891
6.3.05	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£719	£918	£54	£1,013	£40
6.3.06	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£230	£1,386	£192	£117	£145
6.3.08	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬆	69.16%	36.68%	92.44%	63.43%	91.06%
6.3.09	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		M	Stat	⬇	23.37%	25.23%	1.65%	32.80%	1.93%
6.3.10	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		M	Stat	⬇	7.47%	38.09%	5.91%	3.77%	7.01%
	Footways									
	Safety									
11.1.01 (PI 45a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times		H	PI	⬆	No data	0.00%	73.08%	No data	No data
11.2.01 (PI 46)	% of safety inspections completed on time		M	PI	⬆	No data	100.00%	No data	No data	No data
11.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		L	Stat	⬇	No data	0	26	No data	No data
11.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat	⬇	9	9	22	8	20
11.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of footway		H	Stat	⬇	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01
11.4.01 (PI 113)	% of footway subject to precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬇	0.00%	36.03%	No data	No data	0.00%
11.4.02	% of footway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		L	Stat	⬇	5.97%	36.03%	No data	No data	0.00%
11.4.03	Tonnes of salt used		L	Stat	⬇	470	209	No data	539	0
11.4.04	Total actual length treated with precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬇	0.00	0.00	No data	0.00	0.00
11.4.05	Number of grit bins per Km of footway network		H	Stat	⬇	0.98	0.97	0.00	1.35	0.00
	Condition/Asset Preservation									
12.1.01 (PI 47)	% of footway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	L	PI	⬇	11.70%	No data	No data	No data	1.50%
12.1.02 (PI 48)	% of footway length treated	Y	M	PI	⬇	2.62%	No data	No data	0.38%	0.11%
12.2.01	% of footway area – surface treated		H	Stat	⬇	2.32%	1.38%	0.00%	0.14%	0.00%
12.2.02	% of footway area – resurfaced		H	Stat	⬇	0.10%	0.56%	0.00%	0.36%	0.00%
12.2.04	% of footway area – planned patching		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
12.2.03	% of footway area – reconstructed		H	Stat	⬇	0.05%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	Financial									
16.1.01 (PI 49a)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length	Y	L	PI	⬇	£479	£1,281	£665	£664	No data
16.1.02 (PI 58)	Cost per Km of footway travelled for salting treatment		L	PI	⬇	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP	FAMILY GROUP 1 (Rural)				
					PIN	8000	8001	8072	8145	8055
					Authority	Aberdeenshire Council	Angus Council	Argyll & Bute Council	Scottish Borders Council	Dumfries & Galloway Council
Ref	Indicator									
16.1.03 (PI 49b)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length (excluding client cost)		L	PI	⬇	£433	£1,223	£549	£408	No data
16.1.04 (PI 49c)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by square metres of footway area treated		L	PI	⬇	£10.41	£34.17	No data	£81.67	No data
16.3.01	Total cost of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£0	£9,016	£710	£89,901	£0
16.3.02	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		L	Stat	⬇	£1,620	£72	£0	£7,466	£0
16.3.03	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£433	£1,268	£548	£293	No data
16.3.04	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	No data	£12	£1	£115	No data
16.3.05	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data
16.3.07	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	100.00%	99.02%	99.75%	71.79%	No data
16.3.08	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	No data	0.98%	0.25%	28.21%	No data
16.3.09	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	Structures									
	Safety									
31.1.01 (PI 300)	% of principal inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	No data	100.00%	No data	No data	100.00%
31.1.02 (PI 301)	% of general inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	53.56%	100.00%	99.10%	0.00%	100.00%
	Condition/Asset Preservation									
32.1.01 (PI 302)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - average BSCLav	Y	H	PI	⬆	72.00	87.43	89.04	No data	92.36
32.1.02 (PI 303)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - critical BSCLcrit	Y	H	PI	⬆	67.00	83.71	73.55	No data	87.06
32.3.01	% of bridges subject to monitoring/special inspection regimes		H	Stat	⬇	10.05%	0.17%	2.03%	0.33%	0.00%
32.3.02	No of Council owned bridges failing assessment		H	Stat	⬇	54	1	27	30	0
32.3.03	No of privately owned bridges failing assessment on Council road network		H	Stat	⬇	11	1	4	1	0
	Functionality									
34.1.01 (PI 304)	% of Council owned bridges failing European standards		H	PI	⬇	4.18%	0.17%	2.20%	2.50%	0.00%
34.2.01 (PI 305)	% of Council road bridges with unacceptable weight, height or width restriction		H	PI	⬇	1.39%	0.00%	2.52%	No data	0.13%
34.3.01	No of Council bridges weight restricted (excluding acceptable weight restrictions)		H	Stat	⬇	9	0	18	3	0
34.3.02	No of Council bridges with imposed height / width restriction (for year on year comparison)		H	Stat	⬇	9	0	13	No data	2
34.3.03	No of Council bridges with acceptable weight restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	0	0	No data	23	10
34.3.04	No of Council bridges with imposed width restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	5	0	1	No data	1
34.3.05	No of Council bridges with imposed height restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	4	0	12	2	1
	Financial									
36.1.01 (PI 306)	Annual budget allocated as a % of cost of identified work (from AMP)		L	PI	⬆	5.88%	38.40%	3.69%	No data	No data
36.2.01 (PI 307)	% of allocated budget spent per annum		L	PI	⬆	49.12%	74.71%	No data	100.00%	93.79%
36.2.02 (PI 308)	Cost of identified potential work as a % of total structures valuation		L	PI	⬇	18.98%	1.45%	1.26%	No data	No data
36.3.01	% of budget spent repairing 3rd party damage		L	Stat	⬇	1.79%	1.05%	No data	7.12%	0.21%
36.3.02	Cost to remove unacceptable restrictions by weight/height/width		L	Stat	⬇	£20,000,000	£0	£7,300,000	£0	£0
	Traffic Management Systems									
	Safety									
41.1.01 (PI 55)	% of faults rectified within target time	Y	H	Stat	⬆	81.19%	98.03%	No data	100.00%	93.15%
41.1.02 (PI 56)	% of faults rectified on first visit		M	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	No data	94.23%	93.15%

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP	FAMILY GROUP 1 (Rural)				
					PIN	8000	8001	8072	8145	8055
					<div>Ideal Position</div> <div>Authority</div>	Aberdeenshire Council	Angus Council	Argyll & Bute Council	Scottish Borders Council	Dumfries & Galloway Council
Ref	Indicator									
	<b>Financial</b>									
46.1.01	% of Traffic Management Systems expenditure which is planned maintenance spend		L	Stat	⬆	No data	70.57%	100.00%	54.99%	No data
	<b>Street Furniture</b>									
	<b>Financial</b>									
56.1.01	% of total Roads & Lighting expenditure which is spent on Street Furniture		L	Stat	⬆	No data	1.91%	1.51%	0.88%	No data
	<b>All assets service delivery</b>									
	<b>Safety</b>									
61.1.01 (PI 60)	Km inspected per Safety Inspector (carriageways & footways)		H	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP	FAMILY GROUP 1 (Rural)				
Performance Indicator Results 2016-17					PIN	8000	8001	8072	8145	8055
Authorities in red have NOT returned data					Ideal Position Authority	Aberdeenshire Council	Angus Council	Argyll & Bute Council	Scottish Borders Council	Dumfries & Galloway Council
Ref	Indicator									
	Street Lighting									
	Safety									
21.2.01 (PI 39)	% of columns with a valid structural inspection (last 6 years)		L	PI	↑	0.00%	100.00%	No data	No data	No data
21.2.02 (PI 40)	% of street lanterns with a valid Electrical Test Certificate.		H	PI	↑	45.27%	11.26%	No data	100.00%	61.70%
	Condition/Asset Preservation									
22.2.01 (PI 29a)	Routine faults as a % of street lighting stock	Y	H	PI	↓	10.05%	9.64%	11.28%	13.70%	3.99%
22.2.02	% of columns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life	Y	M	Stat	↓	22.00%	13.40%	16.87%	39.47%	22.66%
22.2.03	% of lanterns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life		L	Stat	↓	27.77%	14.49%	15.98%	9.25%	5.51%
22.3.02	% of columns replaced		M	Stat	↕	0.35%	1.13%	No data	0.45%	1.56%
22.3.03	% of lanterns replaced		M	Stat	↕	5.55%	7.20%	0.00%	26.63%	20.48%
	Customer Service									
23.1.01 (PI 03)	% of repairs within 7 days	Y	H	PI	↑	94.57%	99.00%	64.48%	98.20%	96.70%
23.2.01 (PI 20)	Average time taken to repair (days)		H	PI	↓	3.29	2.03	No data	3.06	3.62
23.2.02 (PI 27)	Public calls as a % of faults		M	PI	↕	114.05%	68.19%	105.28%	86.73%	101.88%
23.2.03 (PI 28)	Public calls as a % of street lights		M	PI	↕	11.46%	6.58%	11.88%	11.88%	4.07%
23.3.01	% of street lights giving modern white light		M	Stat	↑	35.62%	88.57%	27.44%	73.64%	76.38%
23.3.02	% of street lights which are LED		M	Stat	↑	13.68%	56.25%	27.44%	58.46%	68.55%
	Availability									
24.3.01	Number of night inspections annually		H	Stat	↕	0	5	No data	0	0
	Financial									
26.1.01 (PI 35)	Actual capital investment as a % of annual depreciation (from AMP)		M	PI	↑	52.40%	41.13%	No data	95.86%	248.04%
26.1.02 (PI 36)	Depreciated Replacement Cost (DRC) as a % of Gross Replacement Cost (GRC)		M	PI	↓	55.06%	47.17%	53.54%	37.51%	55.31%
26.2.01 (PI 33)	Average cost (client) of repairing routine faults (eg component replacement)		L	PI	↓	£31.73	No data	No data	£86.33	No data
26.2.02 (PI 34b)	Individual cost of night inspecting a street light per light		M	PI	↓	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data
26.2.03 (PI 42)	Revenue allocation per street light excluding electricity costs		H	PI	↓	No data	£16.83	No data	£11.91	£25.12
26.2.04 (PI 43)	Capital allocation per street light – replacement		H	PI	↓	£27.73	£38.79	No data	£65.72	£96.56
26.2.05 (PI 01c)	Total investment in infrastructure per street light		H	PI	↓	£37.51	£55.61	No data	£77.63	£121.67
26.3.02 (PI 06a)	Energy cost per street lamp		H	Stat	↓	£45.07	£32.20	£54.98	£29.81	£26.57
	Environmental									
27.1.01 (PI 18b)	Average annual electricity consumption per street light (kwHrs)	Y	M	PI	↓	345.81	237.02	423.56	288.29	206.24
27.3.01 (PI 37b)	Co2 emissions (kg) per street light		M	Stat	↓	184.350	126.353	225.800	153.690	109.949
27.3.04 (PI 38b)	% of street lights dimmable		M	Stat	↑	9.82%	21.90%	0.00%	15.90%	74.39%
27.3.03	% change in energy consumption from year to year (kWH)		H	Stat	↕	-5.11%	-16.14%	-3.26%	-16.58%	-18.46%

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <small>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</small>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP				FAMILY GRO	
Ideal Position	PIN				8086	8063	8158	Group Average	8081	
	Authority				Highland Council	Moray Council	Perth & Kinross Council			Orkney Islands Council
Ref	Indicator									
	<b>SCOTS headline financial PI</b>				♦					
0.1.01 (PI 63)	Total expenditure by carriageway network length (£ per Km)	Y	H	PI		£3,957	No data	£6,811	£5,066	No data
	<b>Customer Service</b>									
3.1.01 (PI 37)	% of customer enquiries/requests for service closed off within Council's own identified response times.		H	PI		No data	No data	77.70%	84.19%	73.91%
3.2.01 (PI 38)	% of abnormal load notifications dealt with in time.		H	PI		96.00%	No data	No data	98.04%	100.00%
3.3.01 (PI 61)	% of enquiries made under the Freedom of Information Act that were dealt with within the allowable time		H	Stat	No data	No data	87.50%	90.10%	97.83%	
3.3.02	Total number of enquiries received under the Freedom of Information Act		H	Stat	No data	No data	136	81	46	
	<b>Carriageways</b>									
	<b>Safety</b>	Y	M	PI	↑	No data	No data	100.00%	94.23%	50.00%
1.1.01 (PI 03a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times.		H	PI	↑	No data	No data	85.65%	86.22%	94.44%
1.2.01 (PI 39)	% of safety inspections completed on time.		M	Stat	↓	No data	No data	24	21	2
1.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		H	Stat	↓	No data	No data	83	129	0
1.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat	↓	No data	No data	0.03	0.04	0.00
1.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of carriageway		H	Stat	♦	32.20%	No data	45.22%	38.29%	39.41%
1.4.01 (PI 114)	% of carriageway network subject to precautionary salting treatment		H	Stat	♦	32.20%	No data	11.21%	26.55%	No data
1.4.02	% carriageway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat	↓	No data	No data	65.01%	62.83%	No data
1.4.03	Route efficiency (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	♦	0.00	No data	71.08	72.39	0.00
1.4.04	Average route length (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat	♦	0	No data	62,104	82,431	8,536
1.4.05	Total actual length treated with precautionary treatment (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	♦	No data	No data	98.96%	99.80%	86.36%
1.4.06	% top priority routes completed on time (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat	↓	5.51	No data	5.36	4.96	2.23
1.4.07	Total salt usage by total network length		M	Stat	↓	No data	No data	0.21	0.30	0.26
1.4.08	Total salt usage by total actual precautionary treated length		M	Stat	↓	No data	No data	0.00	9.56	0.00
1.4.09	Average salt usage (tonnes) per precautionary run		H	Stat	♦	2.50	No data	2.50	2.29	2.00
1.4.10	The stated (policy) time for completion of treatment of your highest priority routes (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	♦	0.00	No data	1.00	0.93	1.00
1.4.11	The stated (policy) time for mustering (Winter Maintenance operations)									
	<b>Condition/Asset Preservation</b>									
2.1.01 (PI 40)	% of carriageway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	H	PI	↓	38.70%	No data	37.20%	37.98%	21.20%
2.1.02 (PI 41)	% of carriageway length treated	Y	H	PI	♦	No data	No data	5.89%	4.21%	5.50%
2.3.01	% of carriageway area – surface dressed		H	Stat	♦	No data	No data	3.42%	3.29%	4.94%
2.3.02	% of carriageway area – thin/micro surface (up to 25mm)		H	Stat	♦	No data	No data	0.20%	0.03%	0.00%
2.3.03	% of carriageway area – thin overlay (>25mm – 60mm)		H	Stat	♦	No data	No data	0.23%	0.35%	0.09%
2.3.04	% of carriageway area – moderate overlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat	♦	No data	No data	0.07%	0.06%	0.00%
2.3.05	% of carriageway area – structural overlay (>100mm)		H	Stat	♦	No data	No data	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2.3.06	% of carriageway area – thin inlay (up to 60mm)		H	Stat	♦	No data	No data	0.28%	0.16%	0.13%
2.3.07	% of carriageway area – moderate inlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat	♦	No data	No data	0.36%	0.11%	0.00%
2.3.08	% of carriageway area – structural inlay (>100mm)		H	Stat	♦	No data	No data	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%
2.3.14	% of carriageway area – planned patching		H	Stat	♦	No data	No data	0.63%	0.19%	0.00%



SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <small>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</small>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP				FAMILY GRO	
PIN	8086				8063	8158		8081		
Ideal Position	Highland Council				Moray Council	Perth & Kinross Council	Group Average	Orkney Islands Council		
Ref	Indicator									
2.3.09	% of carriageway area – fully reconstructed		H	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%
2.3.10 (PI 02d)	% of "A" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	29.13%	No data	39.77%	32.77%	22.07%
2.3.11	% of "B" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	36.33%	No data	39.98%	38.72%	17.96%
2.3.12	% of "C" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	40.15%	No data	37.98%	38.74%	15.49%
2.3.13	% of "U" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	43.32%	No data	34.79%	43.97%	24.34%
Financial										
6.1.01 (PI 42a)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length	Y	H	PI	⬆	£2,040	No data	£4,080	£3,317	£2,379
6.1.02 (PI 57)	Total cost per Km of carriageway travelled for precautionary salting treatment		M	PI	⬇	No data	No data	£28.96	£36.57	No data
6.1.03 (PI 42b)	Total carriageway contractor maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length (excluding client cost)		H	PI	⬆	£1,808	No data	£3,766	£3,054	£1,969
6.1.04 (PI 42c)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by square metres of carriageway area treated		H	PI	⬆	£21.74	No data	£13.20	£17.99	£8.85
6.3.01	Total cost of addressing total backlog by road length		H	Stat	⬆	£26,355	No data	£29,656	£21,721	£12,919
6.3.02	Total cost of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£600,273	No data	£703,578	£1,462,796	£207,680
6.3.03	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		H	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	£1,949	£8,122	£0
6.3.04	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬆	£297	No data	£3,188	£1,972	£1,137
6.3.05	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬆	£89	No data	£287	£446	£211
6.3.06	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		H	Stat	⬆	£42	No data	£291	£343	£621
6.3.08	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬆	69.33%	No data	84.66%	72.82%	57.74%
6.3.09	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		M	Stat	⬇	20.81%	No data	7.62%	17.31%	10.71%
6.3.10	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		M	Stat	⬆	9.86%	No data	7.73%	9.87%	31.55%
Footways										
Safety										
11.1.01 (PI 45a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times		H	PI	⬆	No data	No data	100.00%	57.69%	0.00%
11.2.01 (PI 46)	% of safety inspections completed on time		M	PI	⬆	No data	No data	92.28%	96.14%	100.00%
11.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		L	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	1	9	0
11.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	15	14	0
11.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of footway		H	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	0.00	0.01	0.00
11.4.01 (PI 113)	% of footway subject to precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	0.00%	9.01%	24.78%
11.4.02	% of footway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		L	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	No data	14.00%	17.81%
11.4.03	Tonnes of salt used		L	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	150	273.6	54
11.4.04	Total actual length treated with precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	0.00	0.00	144.00
11.4.05	Number of grit bins per Km of footway network		H	Stat	⬆	0.00	No data	0.00	0.47	2.18
Condition/Asset Preservation										
12.1.01 (PI 47)	% of footway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	L	PI	⬇	No data	No data	10.00%	7.73%	27.70%
12.1.02 (PI 48)	% of footway length treated	Y	M	PI	⬆	No data	No data	0.00%	0.78%	1.15%
12.2.01	% of footway area – surface treated		H	Stat	⬆	0.00%	No data	0.89%	0.68%	0.82%
12.2.02	% of footway area – resurfaced		H	Stat	⬆	0.00%	No data	0.30%	0.19%	0.70%
12.2.04	% of footway area – planned patching		H	Stat	⬆	0.00%	No data	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
12.2.03	% of footway area – reconstructed		H	Stat	⬆	0.00%	No data	0.02%	0.01%	0.00%
Financial										
16.1.01 (PI 49a)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length	Y	L	PI	⬆	£203	No data	No data	£658	£744
16.1.02 (PI 58)	Cost per Km of footway travelled for salting treatment		L	PI	⬇	No data	No data	No data	£0	No data

**Authorities in red have NOT returned data**

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4					SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP		FAMILY GRO			
Performance Indicator Results 2016-17								PIN	8086	8063	8158		8081
Authorities in red have NOT returned data								Ideal Position	Highland Council	Moray Council	Perth & Kinross Council	Group Average	Orkney Islands Council
Ref	Indicator												
16.1.03 (PI 49b)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length (excluding client cost)		L	PI	⬇	£194	No data	£0	£468	No data			
16.1.04 (PI 49c)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by square metres of footway area treated		L	PI	⬇	No data	No data	£29.65	£38.98	£36.02			
16.3.01	Total cost of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£0	No data	£2,494	£14,589	£0			
16.3.02	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		L	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	£462	£1,603	£0			
16.3.03	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£90	No data	£0	£439	£1,394			
16.3.04	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	£0	£32	No data			
16.3.05	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	No data	£0	No data			
16.3.07	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	100.00%	No data	99.56%	95.02%	100.00%			
16.3.08	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	0.44%	7.47%	No data			
16.3.09	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	0.00%	No data	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
Structures													
Safety													
31.1.01 (PI 300)	% of principal inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	100.00%	No data	74.42%	93.60%	100.00%			
31.1.02 (PI 301)	% of general inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	26.00%	No data	98.69%	68.19%	0.00%			
Condition/Asset Preservation													
32.1.01 (PI 302)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - average BSCLav	Y	H	PI	⬆	81.40	No data	84.73	84.49	No data			
32.1.02 (PI 303)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - critical BSCLcrit	Y	H	PI	⬆	69.00	No data	73.69	75.67	No data			
32.3.01	% of bridges subject to monitoring/special inspection regimes		H	Stat	⬇	2.83%	No data	7.07%	3.21%	0.00%			
32.3.02	No of Council owned bridges failing assessment		H	Stat	⬇	237	No data	48	57	0			
32.3.03	No of privately owned bridges failing assessment on Council road network		H	Stat	⬇	4	No data	7	4	0			
Functionality													
34.1.01 (PI 304)	% of Council owned bridges failing European standards		H	PI	⬇	10.66%	No data	4.58%	3.47%	0.00%			
34.2.01 (PI 305)	% of Council road bridges with unacceptable weight, height or width restriction		H	PI	⬇	0.31%	No data	3.53%	1.32%	0.00%			
34.3.01	No of Council bridges weight restricted (excluding acceptable weight restrictions)		H	Stat	⬇	3	No data	37	10	0			
34.3.02	No of Council bridges with imposed height / width restriction (for year on year comparison)		H	Stat	⬇	4	No data	0	5	0			
34.3.03	No of Council bridges with acceptable weight restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	38	No data	11	14	0			
34.3.04	No of Council bridges with imposed width restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	4	No data	0	2	0			
34.3.05	No of Council bridges with imposed height restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	0	No data	0	3	0			
Financial													
36.1.01 (PI 306)	Annual budget allocated as a % of cost of identified work (from AMP)		L	PI	⬆	No data	No data	6.20%	13.54%	No data			
36.2.01 (PI 307)	% of allocated budget spent per annum		L	PI	⬆	No data	No data	100.00%	83.53%	23.30%			
36.2.02 (PI 308)	Cost of identified potential work as a % of total structures valuation		L	PI	⬇	No data	No data	13.19%	8.72%	No data			
36.3.01	% of budget spent repairing 3rd party damage		L	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	No data	2.54%	20.52%			
36.3.02	Cost to remove unacceptable restrictions by weight/height/width		L	Stat	⬇	£0	No data	£0	£3,900,000	£0			
Traffic Management Systems													
Safety													
41.1.01 (PI 55)	% of faults rectified within target time	Y	H	Stat	⬆	96.63%	No data	92.84%	93.64%	No data			
41.1.02 (PI 56)	% of faults rectified on first visit		M	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	94.75%	94.04%	No data			



**Authorities in red have NOT returned data**

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP				FAMILY GRO	
Performance Indicator Results 2016-17					PIN	8086	8063	8158		8081
Authorities in red have NOT returned data					Ideal Position Authority	Highland Council	Moray Council	Perth & Kinross Council	Group Average	Orkney Islands Council
Ref	Indicator									
	Financial									
46.1.01	% of Traffic Management Systems expenditure which is planned maintenance spend		L	Stat	⬆	57.09%	No data	90.24%	74.58%	No data
	Street Furniture									
	Financial									
56.1.01	% of total Roads & Lighting expenditure which is spent on Street Furniture		L	Stat	⬆	2.78%	No data	0.56%	1.53%	0.27%
	All assets service delivery									
	Safety									
61.1.01 (PI 60)	Km inspected per Safety Inspector (carriageways & footways)		H	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	No data	0.00	129.12

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP				FAMILY GRO	
Performance Indicator Results 2016-17					PIN	8086	8063	8158		8081
Authorities in red have NOT returned data					Ideal Position Authority	Highland Council	Moray Council	Perth & Kinross Council	Group Average	Orkney Islands Council
Ref	Indicator									
	Street Lighting									
	Safety									
21.2.01 (PI 39)	% of columns with a valid structural inspection (last 6 years)		L	PI	↑	No data	No data	No data	50.00%	100.00%
21.2.02 (PI 40)	% of street lanterns with a valid Electrical Test Certificate.		H	PI	↑	54.10%	No data	68.09%	56.74%	100.00%
	Condition/Asset Preservation									
22.2.01 (PI 29a)	Routine faults as a % of street lighting stock	Y	H	PI	↓	10.38%	No data	17.12%	10.88%	8.88%
22.2.02	% of columns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life	Y	M	Stat	↓	No data	No data	19.01%	22.23%	13.02%
22.2.03	% of lanterns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life		L	Stat	↓	22.38%	No data	33.34%	18.39%	1.30%
22.3.02	% of columns replaced		M	Stat	⬇	1.05%	No data	2.09%	1.10%	4.70%
22.3.03	% of lanterns replaced		M	Stat	⬇	10.26%	No data	10.23%	11.48%	38.83%
	Customer Service									
23.1.01 (PI 03)	% of repairs within 7 days	Y	H	PI	↑	91.00%	No data	98.50%	91.78%	86.33%
23.2.01 (PI 20)	Average time taken to repair (days)		H	PI	↓	No data	No data	2.38	2.88	4.60
23.2.02 (PI 27)	Public calls as a % of faults		M	PI	⬇	No data	No data	64.60%	90.12%	90.91%
23.2.03 (PI 28)	Public calls as a % of street lights		M	PI	⬇	No data	No data	11.06%	9.49%	8.08%
23.3.01	% of street lights giving modern white light		M	Stat	↑	37.14%	No data	35.47%	53.46%	44.92%
23.3.02	% of street lights which are LED		M	Stat	↑	22.16%	No data	19.14%	37.96%	42.19%
	Availability									
24.3.01	Number of night inspections annually		H	Stat	⬇	12	No data	0	3	0
	Financial									
26.1.01 (PI 35)	Actual capital investment as a % of annual depreciation (from AMP)		M	PI	↑	82.87%	No data	105.36%	104.27%	185.35%
26.1.02 (PI 36)	Depreciated Replacement Cost (DRC) as a % of Gross Replacement Cost (GRC)		M	PI	↓	42.44%	No data	48.69%	48.53%	57.78%
26.2.01 (PI 33)	Average cost (client) of repairing routine faults (eg component replacement)		L	PI	↓	No data	No data	£39.03	£52.36	£191.13
26.2.02 (PI 34b)	Individual cost of night inspecting a street light per light		M	PI	↓	No data	No data	No data	£0.00	No data
26.2.03 (PI 42)	Revenue allocation per street light excluding electricity costs		H	PI	↓	£19.19	No data	£11.01	£16.81	£20.39
26.2.04 (PI 43)	Capital allocation per street light – replacement		H	PI	↓	£67.40	No data	£49.80	£57.67	No data
26.2.05 (PI 01c)	Total investment in infrastructure per street light		H	PI	↓	£86.59	No data	£60.81	£73.31	£156.28
26.3.02 (PI 06a)	Energy cost per street lamp		H	Stat	↓	£39.32	No data	£39.55	£38.22	No data
	Environmental									
27.1.01 (PI 18b)	Average annual electricity consumption per street light (kWHrs)	Y	M	PI	↓	310.98	No data	297.76	301.38	274.80
27.3.01 (PI 37b)	Co2 emissions (kg) per street light		M	Stat	↓	165.786	No data	158.734	160.666	146.496
27.3.04 (PI 38b)	% of street lights dimmable		M	Stat	↑	0.00%	No data	19.14%	20.16%	0.00%
27.3.03	% change in energy consumption from year to year (kWH)		H	Stat	⬇	-8.19%	No data	-12.74%	-11.50%	-12.16%

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	Ideal Position Authority	GRP	UP 2 (Island)		Group Average
Ref	Indicator					PIN	Shetland Islands Council	Western Isles Council	
	<b>SCOTS headline financial PI</b>	Y	H	PI	⬇		£5,297	£3,623	£4,460
	<b>Customer Service</b>								
3.1.01 (PI 37)	% of customer enquiries/requests for service closed off within Council's own identified response times.		H	PI	↑		No data	No data	73.91%
3.2.01 (PI 38)	% of abnormal load notifications dealt with in time.		H	PI	↑		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
3.3.01 (PI 61)	% of enquiries made under the Freedom of Information Act that were dealt with within the allowable time		H	Stat	⬇		100.00%	97.44%	98.42%
3.3.02	Total number of enquiries received under the Freedom of Information Act		H	Stat	⬇		29	39	38
	<b>Carriageways</b>								
	<b>Safety</b>								
1.1.01 (PI 03a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times.		M	PI	↑		100.00%	100.00%	83.33%
1.2.01 (PI 39)	% of safety inspections completed on time.		H	PI	↑		96.88%	100.00%	97.11%
1.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		M	Stat	↓		15	24	14
1.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat	↓		14	12	9
1.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of carriageway		H	Stat	↓		0.01	0.01	0.01
1.4.01 (PI 114)	% of carriageway network subject to precautionary salting treatment	Y	H	Stat	⬇		24.00%	23.08%	28.83%
1.4.02	% carriageway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇		57.54%	39.98%	48.76%
1.4.03	Route efficiency (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat	↓		55.63%	45.02%	50.33%
1.4.04	Average route length (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇		45.30	43.79	29.70
1.4.05	Total actual length treated with precautionary treatment (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat	⬇		7,056	3,687	6,426
1.4.06	% top priority routes completed on time (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇		100.00%	100.00%	95.45%
1.4.07	Total salt usage by total network length		M	Stat	↓		7.43	4.72	4.79
1.4.08	Total salt usage by total actual precautionary treated length		M	Stat	↓		1.11	1.53	0.96
1.4.09	Average salt usage (tonnes) per precautionary run		M	Stat	↓		8.84	5.51	4.78
1.4.10	The stated (policy) time for completion of treatment of your highest priority routes (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇		2.50	1.50	2.00
1.4.11	The stated (policy) time for mustering (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇		1.00	1.00	1.00
	<b>Condition/Asset Preservation</b>								
2.1.01 (PI 40)	% of carriageway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	H	PI	↓		37.70%	43.10%	34.67%
2.1.02 (PI 41)	% of carriageway length treated	Y	H	PI	⬇		6.68%	0.85%	4.34%
2.3.01	% of carriageway area – surface dressed		H	Stat	⬇		4.94%	5.01%	4.96%
2.3.02	% of carriageway area – thin/micro surface (up to 25mm)		H	Stat	⬇		0.59%	0.30%	0.30%
2.3.03	% of carriageway area – thin overlay (>25mm – 60mm)		H	Stat	⬇		0.05%	0.26%	0.13%
2.3.04	% of carriageway area – moderate overlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat	⬇		0.54%	0.73%	0.42%
2.3.05	% of carriageway area – structural overlay (>100mm)		H	Stat	⬇		0.18%	0.00%	0.06%
2.3.06	% of carriageway area – thin inlay (up to 60mm)		H	Stat	⬇		0.05%	0.21%	0.13%
2.3.07	% of carriageway area – moderate inlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat	⬇		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2.3.08	% of carriageway area – structural inlay (>100mm)		H	Stat	⬇		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2.3.14	% of carriageway area – planned patching		H	Stat	⬇		0.00%	0.04%	0.01%

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP		UP 2 (Island)		Group Average
Ref	Indicator				Ideal Position	Authority	8037  Shetland Islands Council	8101  Western Isles Council	
2.3.09	% of carriageway area – fully reconstructed		H	Stat	↕		0.05%	0.00%	0.02%
2.3.10 (PI 02d)	% of "A" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	↓		19.80%	37.28%	26.38%
2.3.11	% of "B" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	↓		33.50%	38.66%	30.04%
2.3.12	% of "C" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	↓		31.50%	49.67%	32.22%
2.3.13	% of "U" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	↓		50.50%	45.70%	40.18%
Financial									
6.1.01 (PI 42a)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length	Y	H	PI	↕		£4,106	£2,721	£3,068
6.1.02 (PI 57)	Total cost per Km of carriageway travelled for precautionary salting treatment		M	PI	↓		£84.19	£160.53	£122.36
6.1.03 (PI 42b)	Total carriageway contractor maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length (excluding client cost)		H	PI	↕		£3,520	£2,376	£2,622
6.1.04 (PI 42c)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by square metres of carriageway area treated		H	PI	↕		£13.79	£9.74	£10.79
6.3.01	Total cost of addressing total backlog by road length		H	Stat	↕		£30,359	£28	£14,435
6.3.02	Total cost of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	↓		£6,615	£457,343	£223,879
6.3.03	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		H	Stat	↓		£0	£0	£0
6.3.04	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		H	Stat	↕		£3,373	£2,039	£2,183
6.3.05	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	↕		£6	£382	£200
6.3.06	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		H	Stat	↕		£141	£132	£298
6.3.08	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		H	Stat	↑		95.81%	79.86%	81.71%
6.3.09	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		M	Stat	↓		0.18%	14.98%	7.73%
6.3.10	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		M	Stat	↕		4.01%	5.16%	10.56%
Footways									
Safety									
11.1.01 (PI 45a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times		H	PI	↑		0.00%	100.00%	33.33%
11.2.01 (PI 46)	% of safety inspections completed on time		M	PI	↑		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
11.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		L	Stat	↓		0	1	0
11.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat	↓		2	1	1
11.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of footway		H	Stat	↓		0.02	0.01	0.01
11.4.01 (PI 113)	% of footway subject to precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	↕		0.00%	12.60%	12.46%
11.4.02	% of footway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		L	Stat	↕		50.25%	4.90%	24.32%
11.4.03	Tonnes of salt used		L	Stat	↓		34	15	34
11.4.04	Total actual length treated with precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	↕		0.00	No data	72.00
11.4.05	Number of grit bins per Km of footway network		H	Stat	↕		3.42	1.05	2.22
Condition/Asset Preservation									
12.1.01 (PI 47)	% of footway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	L	PI	↓		0.00%	34.80%	20.83%
12.1.02 (PI 48)	% of footway length treated	Y	M	PI	↕		2.79%	0.37%	1.44%
12.2.01	% of footway area – surface treated		H	Stat	↕		2.21%	0.00%	1.01%
12.2.02	% of footway area – resurfaced		H	Stat	↕		0.00%	0.30%	0.33%
12.2.04	% of footway area – planned patching		H	Stat	↕		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
12.2.03	% of footway area – reconstructed		H	Stat	↕		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Financial									
16.1.01 (PI 49a)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length	Y	L	PI	↕		£900	£691	£778
16.1.02 (PI 58)	Cost per Km of footway travelled for salting treatment		L	PI	↓		No data	No data	£

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div>							GRP	UP 2 (Island)		
				SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	Ideal Position Authority	8037	8101	
								Shetland Islands Council	Western Isles Council	Group Average
Ref	Indicator									
16.1.03 (PI 49b)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length (excluding client cost)			L	PI	⬇		£677	£511	£594
16.1.04 (PI 49c)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by square metres of footway area treated			L	PI	⬇		£21.50	£147.27	£68.27
16.3.01	Total cost of reactive maintenance			L	Stat	⬇		£6,263	£7,905	£4,723
16.3.02	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims			L	Stat	⬇		£0	£0	£0
16.3.03	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance			L	Stat	⬇		No data	£455	£925
16.3.04	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance			L	Stat	⬇		£54	£55	£55
16.3.05	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance			L	Stat	⬇		£623	No data	£623
16.3.07	% of budget spent on planned maintenance			L	Stat	⬆		No data	89.17%	94.58%
16.3.08	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance			L	Stat	⬇		8.01%	10.83%	9.42%
16.3.09	% of budget spent on routine maintenance			L	Stat	⬇		91.99%	0.00%	30.66%
	Structures									
	Safety									
31.1.01 (PI 300)	% of principal inspections carried out on time			H	PI	⬆		100.00%	20.00%	73.33%
31.1.02 (PI 301)	% of general inspections carried out on time			H	PI	⬆		100.00%	100.00%	66.67%
	Condition/Asset Preservation									
32.1.01 (PI 302)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - average BSCLav	Y		H	PI	⬆		98.38	94.70	96.54
32.1.02 (PI 303)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - critical BSCLcrit	Y		H	PI	⬆		95.83	83.10	89.47
32.3.01	% of bridges subject to monitoring/special inspection regimes			H	Stat	⬇		0.00%	0.49%	0.16%
32.3.02	No of Council owned bridges failing assessment			H	Stat	⬇		15	No data	8
32.3.03	No of privately owned bridges failing assessment on Council road network			H	Stat	⬇		0	0	0
	Functionality									
34.1.01 (PI 304)	% of Council owned bridges failing European standards			H	PI	⬇		5.73%	No data	2.86%
34.2.01 (PI 305)	% of Council road bridges with unacceptable weight, height or width restriction			H	PI	⬇		0.00%	2.96%	0.99%
34.3.01	No of Council bridges weight restricted (excluding acceptable weight restrictions)			H	Stat	⬇		0	6	2
34.3.02	No of Council bridges with imposed height / width restriction (for year on year comparison)			H	Stat	⬇		0	0	0
34.3.03	No of Council bridges with acceptable weight restriction (new Stat for 16-17)			H	Stat	⬇		0	0	0
34.3.04	No of Council bridges with imposed width restriction (new Stat for 16-17)			H	Stat	⬇		0	0	0
34.3.05	No of Council bridges with imposed height restriction (new Stat for 16-17)			H	Stat	⬇		0	0	0
	Financial									
36.1.01 (PI 306)	Annual budget allocated as a % of cost of identified work (from AMP)			L	PI	⬆		13.82%	111.43%	62.62%
36.2.01 (PI 307)	% of allocated budget spent per annum			L	PI	⬆		5.90%	88.54%	39.25%
36.2.02 (PI 308)	Cost of identified potential work as a % of total structures valuation			L	PI	⬇		6.59%	1.50%	4.04%
36.3.01	% of budget spent repairing 3rd party damage			L	Stat	⬇		0.00%	0.00%	6.84%
36.3.02	Cost to remove unacceptable restrictions by weight/height/width			L	Stat	⬇		£0	£0	£0
	Traffic Management Systems									
	Safety									
41.1.01 (PI 55)	% of faults rectified within target time	Y		H	Stat	⬆		No data	100.00%	100.00%
41.1.02 (PI 56)	% of faults rectified on first visit			M	Stat	⬆		No data	100.00%	100.00%

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <small>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</small>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	UP 2 (Island)			
					GRP	UP 2 (Island)		Group Average
					PIN	8037	8101	
					Ideal Position Authority	Shetland Islands Council	Western Isles Council	
Ref	Indicator							
46.1.01	Financial % of Traffic Management Systems expenditure which is planned maintenance spend		L	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	0.00%
	Street Furniture							
56.1.01	Financial % of total Roads & Lighting expenditure which is spent on Street Furniture		L	Stat	⬆	3.82%	0.20%	1.43%
	All assets service delivery							
61.1.01 (PI 60)	Safety Km inspected per Safety Inspector (carriageways & footways)		H	Stat	⬆	582.61	1,337.90	683.21



SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <small>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</small>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP		UP 2 (Island)		Group Average	
Ref	Indicator				Ideal Position	Authority	PIN	8037		8101
Street Lighting										
Safety										
21.2.01 (PI 39)	% of columns with a valid structural inspection (last 6 years)		L	PI	↑		No data	78.64%	89.32%	
21.2.02 (PI 40)	% of street lanterns with a valid Electrical Test Certificate.		H	PI	↑		No data	30.55%	65.27%	
Condition/Asset Preservation										
22.2.01 (PI 29a)	Routine faults as a % of street lighting stock	Y	H	PI	↓		6.41%	13.93%	9.74%	
22.2.02	% of columns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life	Y	M	Stat	↓		No data	39.20%	26.11%	
22.2.03	% of lanterns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life		L	Stat	↓		No data	40.02%	20.66%	
22.3.02	% of columns replaced		M	Stat	♢		6.57%	0.65%	3.98%	
22.3.03	% of lanterns replaced		M	Stat	♢		8.76%	4.04%	17.21%	
Customer Service										
23.1.01 (PI 03)	% of repairs within 7 days	Y	H	PI	↑		No data	90.00%	88.17%	
23.2.01 (PI 20)	Average time taken to repair (days)		H	PI	↓		No data	10.00%	7.30	
23.2.02 (PI 27)	Public calls as a % of faults		M	PI	♢		No data	15.52%	53.22%	
23.2.03 (PI 28)	Public calls as a % of street lights		M	PI	♢		No data	2.16%	5.12%	
23.3.01	% of street lights giving modern white light		M	Stat	↑		14.19%	61.73%	40.28%	
23.3.02	% of street lights which are LED		M	Stat	↑		12.47%	27.74%	27.46%	
Availability										
24.3.01	Number of night inspections annually		H	Stat	♢		0	6	2	
Financial										
26.1.01 (PI 35)	Actual capital investment as a % of annual depreciation (from AMP)		M	PI	↑		No data	10.10%	97.72%	
26.1.02 (PI 36)	Depreciated Replacement Cost (DRC) as a % of Gross Replacement Cost (GRC)		M	PI	↓		57.64%	39.46%	51.62%	
26.2.01 (PI 33)	Average cost (client) of repairing routine faults (eg component replacement)		L	PI	↓		No data	£67.93	£129.53	
26.2.02 (PI 34b)	Individual cost of night inspecting a street light per light		M	PI	↓		No data	£0.04	£0.04	
26.2.03 (PI 42)	Revenue allocation per street light excluding electricity costs		H	PI	↓		£31.42	£33.92	£28.58	
26.2.04 (PI 43)	Capital allocation per street light – replacement		H	PI	↓		£69.09	£8.14	£38.62	
26.2.05 (PI 01c)	Total investment in infrastructure per street light		H	PI	↓		£100.51	£42.06	£99.62	
26.3.02 (PI 06a)	Energy cost per street lamp		H	Stat	↓		£69.03	£21.23	£45.13	
Environmental										
27.1.01 (PI 18b)	Average annual electricity consumption per street light (kWhrs)	Y	M	PI	↓		525.71	145.86	315.46	
27.3.01 (PI 37b)	Co2 emissions (kg) per street light		M	Stat	↓		280,256	77,757	168,170	
27.3.04 (PI 38b)	% of street lights dimmable		M	Stat	↑		0.00%	0.22%	0.07%	
27.3.03	% change in energy consumption from year to year (kWH)		H	Stat	♢		0.27%	5.12%	-2.26%	

<div> <div>SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4</div> <div>Performance Indicator Results 2016-17</div> <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div> </div>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP PIN Authority Ideal Position	FAMILY GROUP 3 (Semi Urban)					
						8082	8064	8134	8027	8059	8042
						East Ayrshire Council	East Lothian Council	Fife Council	Midlothian Council	North Ayrshire Council	South Ayrshire Council
Ref	Indicator										
	<b>SCOTS headline financial PI</b>										
0.1.01 (PI 63)	Total expenditure by carriageway network length (£ per Km)	Y	H	PI	⬇	£9,477	£12,814	£10,653	£10,326	£11,504	£7
	<b>Customer Service</b>										
3.1.01 (PI 37)	% of customer enquiries/requests for service closed off within Council's own identified response times.		H	PI	↑	88.65%	45.41%	No data	97.13%	95.81%	97
3.2.01 (PI 38)	% of abnormal load notifications dealt with in time.		H	PI	↑	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100
3.3.01 (PI 61)	% of enquiries made under the Freedom of Information Act that were dealt with within the allowable time		H	Stat	⬇	99.03%	71.30%	94.50%	100.00%	74.16%	98
3.3.02	Total number of enquiries received under the Freedom of Information Act		H	Stat	⬇	103	115	109	12	89	
	<b>Carriageways</b>										
	<b>Safety</b>										
1.1.01 (PI 03a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times.		M	PI	↑	78.65%	86.05%	No data	100.00%	100.00%	71
1.2.01 (PI 39)	% of safety inspections completed on time.		H	PI	↓	83.63%	100.00%	100.00%	97.44%	98.09%	100
1.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		M	Stat	↑	192	129	No data	5	417	
1.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat	↓	144	43	148	89	75	
1.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of carriageway		H	Stat	↓	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.13	0.07	
1.4.01 (PI 114)	% of carriageway network subject to precautionary salting treatment	Y	H	Stat	⬇	46.80%	56.77%	58.96%	60.53%	48.34%	43
1.4.02	% carriageway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇	46.80%	No data	No data	60.53%	48.34%	43
1.4.03	Route efficiency (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat	↓	61.88%	160.49%	68.74%	79.20%	61.25%	53
1.4.04	Average route length (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇	91.13	21.60	90.87	64.82	81.72	9
1.4.05	Total actual length treated with precautionary treatment (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat	⬇	59,828	21,840	94,822	5,287	46,952	40
1.4.06	% top priority routes completed on time (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇	90.20%	100.00%	99.60%	100.00%	98.19%	84
1.4.07	Total salt usage by total network length		M	Stat	⬇	4.45	3.37	1.10	5.75	5.32	
1.4.08	Total salt usage by total actual precautionary treated length		M	Stat	↓	0.09	0.14	0.03	0.74	0.12	
1.4.09	Average salt usage (tonnes) per precautionary run		M	Stat	↓	4.65	2.13	5.43	24.60	4.74	
1.4.10	The stated (policy) time for completion of treatment of your highest priority routes (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	
1.4.11	The stated (policy) time for mustering (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇	1.00	1.50	0.00	1.00	1.00	
	<b>Condition/Asset Preservation</b>										
2.1.01 (PI 40)	% of carriageway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	H	PI	↓	39.10%	34.10%	32.61%	33.60%	38.30%	42
2.1.02 (PI 41)	% of carriageway length treated	Y	H	PI	⬇	3.16%	5.46%	1.54%	0.86%	2.75%	3
2.3.01	% of carriageway area – surface dressed		H	Stat	⬇	0.88%	2.96%	0.21%	0.00%	1.12%	1
2.3.02	% of carriageway area – thin/micro surface (up to 25mm)		H	Stat	⬇	0.18%	0.00%	0.18%	0.00%	0.24%	0
2.3.03	% of carriageway area – thin overlay (>25mm – 60mm)		H	Stat	⬇	1.04%	0.00%	0.07%	0.38%	0.93%	1
2.3.04	% of carriageway area – moderate overlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.00%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0
2.3.05	% of carriageway area – structural overlay (>100mm)		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0
2.3.06	% of carriageway area – thin inlay (up to 60mm)		H	Stat	⬇	0.88%	1.65%	0.59%	0.24%	0.87%	0
2.3.07	% of carriageway area – moderate inlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.00%	0.67%	0.00%	0.00%	0
2.3.08	% of carriageway area – structural inlay (>100mm)		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.00%	0.01%	0.04%	0.00%	0
2.3.14	% of carriageway area – planned patching		H	Stat	⬇	0.02%	0.26%	0.46%	0.00%	0.30%	0

<b>SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4</b> <b>Performance Indicator Results 2016-17</b> <small>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</small>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP PIN Authority Ideal Position	FAMILY GROUP 3 (Semi Urban)					
						8082	8064	8134	8027	8059	8042
						East Ayrshire Council	East Lothian Council	Fife Council	Midlothian Council	North Ayrshire Council	South Ayrshire Council
Ref	Indicator										
2.3.09	% of carriageway area – fully reconstructed		H	Stat	⬆	0.03%	0.16%	0.01%	0.07%	0.01%	0.01%
2.3.10 (PI 02d)	% of "A" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	19.10%	29.27%	27.41%	22.72%	36.20%	38.10%
2.3.11	% of "B" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	34.00%	40.30%	33.08%	25.12%	36.80%	43.10%
2.3.12	% of "C" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	38.50%	33.54%	28.74%	30.12%	50.80%	40.10%
2.3.13	% of "U" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	45.60%	33.10%	34.67%	39.75%	34.60%	43.10%
	<b>Financial</b>										
6.1.01 (PI 42a)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length	Y	H	PI	⬇	£6,040	£6,083	£5,373	£4,679	£4,922	£4,440
6.1.02 (PI 57)	Total cost per Km of carriageway travelled for precautionary salting treatment		M	PI	⬇	£4.73	£71.22	£23.58	£48.59	£7.72	£7.72
6.1.03 (PI 42b)	Total carriageway contractor maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length (excluding client cost)		H	PI	⬇	£4,783	£5,092	£4,710	£3,499	£4,353	£3,333
6.1.04 (PI 42c)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by square metres of carriageway area treated		H	PI	⬇	£31.66	£23.94	£37.39	£96.11	£27.53	£27.53
6.3.01	Total cost of addressing total backlog by road length		H	Stat	⬇	£41,416	£21,315	£28,698	£28,744	£30,570	£35,570
6.3.02	Total cost of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£966,025	£474,591	£1,386,314	£168,688	£987,947	£915,000
6.3.03	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		H	Stat	⬇	£11,987	£55,520	£3,104	£10,580	£3,341	£19,000
6.3.04	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£3,694	£3,206	£3,640	£2,256	£2,986	£2,986
6.3.05	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£802	£518	£569	£249	£954	£954
6.3.06	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£287	£452	£502	£995	£413	£413
6.3.08	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬆	77.23%	76.78%	77.27%	64.47%	68.60%	66.60%
6.3.09	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		M	Stat	⬇	16.76%	12.41%	12.08%	7.11%	21.92%	23.10%
6.3.10	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		M	Stat	⬇	6.01%	10.81%	10.65%	28.43%	9.48%	9.48%
	<b>Footways</b>										
	<b>Safety</b>										
11.1.01 (PI 45a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times		H	PI	⬆	62.96%	No data	No data	0.00%	100.00%	66.60%
11.2.01 (PI 46)	% of safety inspections completed on time		M	PI	⬆	77.35%	No data	50.00%	100.00%	83.33%	100.00%
11.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		L	Stat	⬇	27	5	No data	0	49	49
11.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat	⬇	11	20	44	No data	25	25
11.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of footway		H	Stat	⬇	0.01	0.04	0.01	No data	0.02	0.02
11.4.01 (PI 113)	% of footway subject to precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬇	14.44%	4.57%	0.00%	7.26%	6.25%	1.10%
11.4.02	% of footway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		L	Stat	⬇	14.44%	1.66%	11.38%	7.26%	6.25%	1.10%
11.4.03	Tonnes of salt used		L	Stat	⬇	78	10	500	178	55	55
11.4.04	Total actual length treated with precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬇	832.60	14.67	0.00	199.34	652.14	652.14
11.4.05	Number of grit bins per Km of footway network		H	Stat	⬇	0.48	1.53	1.36	0.65	0.44	0.44
	<b>Condition/Asset Preservation</b>										
12.1.01 (PI 47)	% of footway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	L	PI	⬇	No data	9.17%	0.00%	9.65%	11.46%	No data
12.1.02 (PI 48)	% of footway length treated	Y	M	PI	⬇	0.63%	2.41%	0.42%	1.56%	0.84%	0.84%
12.2.01	% of footway area – surface treated		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	1.73%	0.00%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%
12.2.02	% of footway area – resurfaced		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.62%	0.14%	0.30%	0.64%	0.64%
12.2.04	% of footway area – planned patching		H	Stat	⬇	0.09%	0.07%	0.14%	0.00%	0.02%	0.02%
12.2.03	% of footway area – reconstructed		H	Stat	⬇	0.60%	0.61%	0.18%	1.06%	0.37%	0.37%
	<b>Financial</b>										
16.1.01 (PI 49a)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length	Y	L	PI	⬇	£564	No data	£879	£1,840	£1,837	No data
16.1.02 (PI 58)	Cost per Km of footway travelled for salting treatment		L	PI	⬇	£42	£2,407	No data	£401	£105	£105

<div> <div>SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4</div> <div>Performance Indicator Results 2016-17</div> <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div> </div>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	<div> <div>GRP</div> <div>PIN</div> <div>Authority</div> </div>	FAMILY GROUP 3 (Semi Urban)					
						8082	8064	8134	8027	8059	8042
						East Ayrshire Council	East Lothian Council	Fife Council	Midlothian Council	North Ayrshire Council	South Ayrshire Council
Ref	Indicator										
16.1.03 (PI 49b)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length (excluding client cost)		L	PI	⬆	£443	£3,766	£748	£1,556	£1,707	£1,707
16.1.04 (PI 49c)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by square metres of footway area treated		L	PI	⬆	£45.12	£80.94	£89.82	£71.20	£95.03	£95.03
16.3.01	Total cost of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£31,291	£202,932	£265,338	£0	£134,389	£134,389
16.3.02	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		L	Stat	⬇	£3,572	£55,123	£27,304	No data	£66,291	£66,291
16.3.03	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	£412	£1,891	£594	£1,556	£1,468	£1,468
16.3.04	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	£31	£422	£88	No data	£133	£133
16.3.05	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	£67	No data	£106	£106
16.3.07	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	93.06%	81.77%	79.32%	100.00%	85.97%	85.97%
16.3.08	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	6.94%	18.23%	11.73%	No data	7.80%	7.80%
16.3.09	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	0.00%	0.00%	8.95%	0.00%	6.23%	6.23%
	<b>Structures</b>										
	<b>Safety</b>										
31.1.01 (PI 300)	% of principal inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	12.50%	No data	90.24%	No data	54.37%	54.37%
31.1.02 (PI 301)	% of general inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	100.00%	98.21%	100.00%	100.00%	87.33%	87.33%
	<b>Condition/Asset Preservation</b>										
32.1.01 (PI 302)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - average BSCLav	Y	H	PI	⬆	85.29	83.15	89.28	90.17	87.80	87.80
32.1.02 (PI 303)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - critical BSCLcrit	Y	H	PI	⬆	74.86	75.85	73.33	79.48	80.30	80.30
32.3.01	% of bridges subject to monitoring/special inspection regimes		H	Stat	⬇	8.41%	2.41%	2.90%	0.00%	7.56%	7.56%
32.3.02	No of Council owned bridges failing assessment		H	Stat	⬇	41	16	51	2	6	6
32.3.03	No of privately owned bridges failing assessment on Council road network		H	Stat	⬇	3	2	8	3	10	10
	<b>Functionality</b>										
34.1.01 (PI 304)	% of Council owned bridges failing European standards		H	PI	⬇	6.63%	4.28%	7.04%	0.92%	1.51%	1.51%
34.2.01 (PI 305)	% of Council road bridges with unacceptable weight, height or width restriction		H	PI	⬇	0.49%	0.00%	3.31%	0.92%	1.01%	1.01%
34.3.01	No of Council bridges weight restricted (excluding acceptable weight restrictions)		H	Stat	⬇	3	0	9	0	3	3
34.3.02	No of Council bridges with imposed height / width restriction (for year on year comparison)		H	Stat	⬆	0	0	15	2	1	1
34.3.03	No of Council bridges with acceptable weight restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬆	2	16	1	1	0	0
34.3.04	No of Council bridges with imposed width restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬆	0	0	10	1	0	0
34.3.05	No of Council bridges with imposed height restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬆	0	0	5	1	1	1
	<b>Financial</b>										
36.1.01 (PI 306)	Annual budget allocated as a % of cost of identified work (from AMP)		L	PI	⬆	7.34%	No data	19.48%	12.68%	17.28%	17.28%
36.2.01 (PI 307)	% of allocated budget spent per annum		L	PI	⬆	27.28%	19.64%	92.31%	74.09%	58.55%	58.55%
36.2.02 (PI 308)	Cost of identified potential work as a % of total structures valuation		L	PI	⬆	17.15%	No data	0.66%	4.46%	3.51%	3.51%
36.3.01	% of budget spent repairing 3rd party damage		L	Stat	⬇	0.00%	No data	2.00%	49.49%	2.00%	2.00%
36.3.02	Cost to remove unacceptable restrictions by weight/height/width		L	Stat	⬆	£11,700,000	£0	£133,116	£0	£2,812,000	£2,812,000
	<b>Traffic Management Systems</b>										
	<b>Safety</b>										
41.1.01 (PI 55)	% of faults rectified within target time	Y	H	Stat	⬆	98.71%	91.53%	99.70%	99.24%	78.32%	78.32%
41.1.02 (PI 56)	% of faults rectified on first visit		M	Stat	⬆	98.71%	93.22%	82.69%	100.00%	88.11%	88.11%

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <small>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</small>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP	FAMILY GROUP 3 (Semi Urban)					
					PIN	8082	8064	8134	8027	8059	8042
					Ideal Position Authority	East Ayrshire Council	East Lothian Council	Fife Council	Midlothian Council	North Ayrshire Council	South Ayrshire Council
Ref	Indicator										
46.1.01	Financial % of Traffic Management Systems expenditure which is planned maintenance spend		L	Stat	⬆	49.94%	2.08%	30.02%	No data	48.88%	41.1%
	Street Furniture										
56.1.01	Financial % of total Roads & Lighting expenditure which is spent on Street Furniture		L	Stat	⬆	2.60%	0.96%	1.83%	No data	2.67%	0.0%
	All assets service delivery										
61.1.01 (PI 60)	Safety Km inspected per Safety Inspector (carriageways & footways)		H	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data

<b>SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4</b> <b>Performance Indicator Results 2016-17</b> <small>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</small>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	<div> <div>GRP</div> <div>PIN</div> <div>Authority</div> </div>	FAMILY GROUP 3 (Semi Urban)					
						8082	8064	8134	8027	8059	8042
						East Ayrshire Council	East Lothian Council	Fife Council	Midlothian Council	North Ayrshire Council	South Ayrshire Council
						Ideal Position					
Ref	Indicator										
	<b>Street Lighting</b>										
	<b>Safety</b>										
21.2.01 (PI 39)	% of columns with a valid structural inspection (last 6 years)		L	PI	↑	No data	81.61%	0.00%	100.00%	73.24%	No
21.2.02 (PI 40)	% of street lanterns with a valid Electrical Test Certificate.		H	PI	↑	22.08%	76.48%	63.78%	No data	62.83%	54.
	<b>Condition/Asset Preservation</b>										
22.2.01 (PI 29a)	Routine faults as a % of street lighting stock	Y	H	PI	↓	18.06%	8.95%	14.33%	7.50%	18.74%	21.
22.2.02	% of columns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life	Y	M	Stat	↓	35.43%	40.91%	32.96%	23.12%	11.03%	24.
22.2.03	% of lanterns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life		L	Stat	↓	46.96%	15.50%	23.81%	46.48%	12.15%	39.
22.3.02	% of columns replaced		M	Stat	↔	0.52%	1.68%	1.67%	4.29%	1.74%	0.
22.3.03	% of lanterns replaced		M	Stat	↔	6.11%	11.86%	10.32%	4.22%	25.15%	15.
	<b>Customer Service</b>										
23.1.01 (PI 03)	% of repairs within 7 days	Y	H	PI	↑	96.43%	95.41%	96.77%	99.00%	94.42%	88.
23.2.01 (PI 20)	Average time taken to repair (days)		H	PI	↓	3.07	2.88	4.67	9.00	7.16	
23.2.02 (PI 27)	Public calls as a % of faults		M	PI	↔	No data	105.48%	110.45%	23.68%	81.98%	No
23.2.03 (PI 28)	Public calls as a % of street lights		M	PI	↔	No data	9.44%	15.83%	1.78%	15.36%	No
23.3.01	% of street lights giving modern white light		M	Stat	↑	60.72%	71.21%	66.31%	47.26%	66.61%	41.
23.3.02	% of street lights which are LED		M	Stat	↑	18.28%	42.26%	9.34%	25.30%	46.10%	24.
	<b>Availability</b>										
24.3.01	Number of night inspections annually		H	Stat	↔	0	0	0	12	9	
	<b>Financial</b>										
26.1.01 (PI 35)	Actual capital investment as a % of annual depreciation (from AMP)		M	PI	↑	72.50%	60.92%	67.12%	No data	267.27%	35.
26.1.02 (PI 36)	Depreciated Replacement Cost (DRC) as a % of Gross Replacement Cost (GRC)		L	PI	↓	51.42%	47.41%	51.75%	96.67%	60.40%	54.
26.2.01 (PI 33)	Average cost (client) of repairing routine faults (eg component replacement)		L	PI	↓	£31.79	£210.31	£76.99	£241.07	£67.63	£5.
26.2.02 (PI 34b)	Individual cost of night inspecting a street light per light		M	PI	↓	No data	No data	No data	£0.03	£0.05	£.
26.2.03 (PI 42)	Revenue allocation per street light excluding electricity costs		H	PI	↓	£16.28	£23.44	£15.26	No data	£13.74	£5.
26.2.04 (PI 43)	Capital allocation per street light – replacement		H	PI	↓	£26.55	£54.99	£31.60	No data	No data	£1.
26.2.05 (PI 01c)	Total investment in infrastructure per street light		H	PI	↓	£42.83	£78.43	£46.86	No data	£125.44	£6.
26.3.02 (PI 06a)	Energy cost per street lamp		H	Stat	↓	£39.35	No data	£38.04	No data	£26.07	£4.
	<b>Environmental</b>										
27.1.01 (PI 18b)	Average annual electricity consumption per street light (kWHrs)	Y	M	PI	↓	332.04	235.92	259.90	309.92	242.43	41.
27.3.01 (PI 37b)	Co2 emissions (kg) per street light		M	Stat	↓	177.011	125.770	138.555	165.219	129.237	221.
27.3.04 (PI 38b)	% of street lights dimmable		M	Stat	↑	0.38%	42.26%	60.31%	17.91%	43.95%	1.
27.3.03	% change in energy consumption from year to year (kWH)		H	Stat	↔	-5.57%	-6.61%	-15.27%	-2.67%	-10.86%	11.



SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <small>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</small>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP					
PIN					Ideal Position	Authority	8120	8040	8109	Group Average
							South Lanarkshire Council	Stirling Council	West Lothian Council	
Ref	Indicator									
	SCOTS headline financial PI									
0.1.01 (PI 63)	Total expenditure by carriageway network length (£ per Km)	Y	H	PI	⬇	£17,658	£8,690	£16,731	£11,696	
	Customer Service									
3.1.01 (PI 37)	% of customer enquiries/requests for service closed off within Council's own identified response times.		H	PI	↑	68.68%	79.53%	68.78%	80.24%	
3.2.01 (PI 38)	% of abnormal load notifications dealt with in time.		H	PI	↑	100.00%	No data	100.00%	100.00%	
3.3.01 (PI 61)	% of enquiries made under the Freedom of Information Act that were dealt with within the allowable time		H	Stat	⬇	96.89%	No data	95.18%	91.24%	
3.3.02	Total number of enquiries received under the Freedom of Information Act		H	Stat	⬇	193	No data	83	99	
	Carriageways									
	Safety									
1.1.01 (PI 03a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times.		M	PI	↑	95.72%	55.09%	88.52%	84.46%	
1.2.01 (PI 39)	% of safety inspections completed on time.		H	PI	↑	99.74%	80.18%	100.00%	95.45%	
1.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		M	Stat	↓	187	432	488	239	
1.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat	↓	108	84	57	94	
1.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of carriageway		H	Stat	↓	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.08	
1.4.01 (PI 114)	% of carriageway network subject to precautionary salting treatment	Y	H	Stat	⬇	51.98%	43.76%	63.75%	52.67%	
1.4.02	% carriageway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇	51.98%	98.45%	63.75%	59.00%	
1.4.03	Route efficiency (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat	↓	56.27%	44.44%	87.78%	74.82%	
1.4.04	Average route length (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇	84.80	99.90	47.35	75.22	
1.4.05	Total actual length treated with precautionary treatment (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat	⬇	172,985	3,952	89,359	59,528	
1.4.06	% top priority routes completed on time (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	96.91%	
1.4.07	Total salt usage by total network length		M	Stat	↓	10.78	4.21	7.64	5.20	
1.4.08	Total salt usage by total actual precautionary treated length		M	Stat	↓	0.14	1.08	0.09	0.28	
1.4.09	Average salt usage (tonnes) per precautionary run		M	Stat	↓	6.83	0.62	3.45	6.39	
1.4.10	The stated (policy) time for completion of treatment of your highest priority routes (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇	3.50	3.00	0.00	2.50	
1.4.11	The stated (policy) time for mustering (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat	⬇	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.83	
	Condition/Asset Preservation									
2.1.01 (PI 40)	% of carriageway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	H	PI	↓	33.10%	43.80%	29.35%	35.68%	
2.1.02 (PI 41)	% of carriageway length treated	Y	H	PI	⬇	4.84%	3.40%	1.44%	3.02%	
2.3.01	% of carriageway area – surface dressed		H	Stat	⬇	1.04%	1.16%	0.52%	1.05%	
2.3.02	% of carriageway area – thin/micro surface (up to 25mm)		H	Stat	⬇	0.79%	0.00%	0.00%	0.15%	
2.3.03	% of carriageway area – thin overlay (>25mm – 60mm)		H	Stat	⬇	0.45%	0.58%	0.08%	0.56%	
2.3.04	% of carriageway area – moderate overlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat	⬇	0.21%	0.33%	0.00%	0.07%	
2.3.05	% of carriageway area – structural overlay (>100mm)		H	Stat	⬇	0.08%	0.00%	0.01%	0.01%	
2.3.06	% of carriageway area – thin inlay (up to 60mm)		H	Stat	⬇	0.92%	0.55%	0.48%	0.72%	
2.3.07	% of carriageway area – moderate inlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat	⬇	0.50%	0.15%	0.13%	0.16%	
2.3.08	% of carriageway area – structural inlay (>100mm)		H	Stat	⬇	0.04%	0.01%	0.00%	0.01%	
2.3.14	% of carriageway area – planned patching		H	Stat	⬇	0.10%	0.00%	0.13%	0.15%	

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <small>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</small>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP				
					PIN	8120	8040	8109	
					Authority	South Lanarkshire Council	Stirling Council	West Lothian Council	Group Average
Ref	Indicator				Ideal Position				
2.3.09	% of carriageway area – fully reconstructed		H	Stat	⬆	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%
2.3.10 (PI 02d)	% of "A" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	23.00%	31.22%	18.32%	27.32%
2.3.11	% of "B" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	24.10%	41.84%	27.58%	34.08%
2.3.12	% of "C" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	37.90%	41.31%	41.59%	38.08%
2.3.13	% of "U" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	35.50%	51.19%	30.10%	38.65%
Financial									
6.1.01 (PI 42a)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length	Y	H	PI	⬆	£8,722	£4,414	£7,695	£5,815
6.1.02 (PI 57)	Total cost per Km of carriageway travelled for precautionary salting treatment		M	PI	⬇	£13.41	£65.05	£19.91	£29.00
6.1.03 (PI 42b)	Total carriageway contractor maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length (excluding client cost)		H	PI	⬆	£7,578	£2,531	£1,943	£4,197
6.1.04 (PI 42c)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by square metres of carriageway area treated		H	PI	⬆	£30.02	£24.14	£78.04	£41.07
6.3.01	Total cost of addressing total backlog by road length		H	Stat	⬆	£34,448	£45,614	£24,361	£32,343
6.3.02	Total cost of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£4,761,917	No data	£1,139,862	£1,350,048
6.3.03	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		H	Stat	⬇	£1,949	£89,509	£101,014	£32,927
6.3.04	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬆	£5,364	£2,531	£6,303	£3,574
6.3.05	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬆	£2,075	No data	£1,093	£880
6.3.06	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		H	Stat	⬆	£139	No data	£446	£444
6.3.08	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬆	70.78%	100.00%	80.37%	74.90%
6.3.09	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		M	Stat	⬇	27.38%	No data	13.93%	18.02%
6.3.10	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		M	Stat	⬆	1.84%	No data	5.69%	7.08%
Footways									
Safety									
11.1.01 (PI 45a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times		H	PI	⬆	91.18%	43.96%	100.00%	66.39%
11.2.01 (PI 46)	% of safety inspections completed on time		M	PI	⬆	99.82%	66.67%	100.00%	84.65%
11.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		L	Stat	⬇	34	91	43	32
11.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat	⬇	73	8	23	28
11.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of footway		H	Stat	⬇	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02
11.4.01 (PI 113)	% of footway subject to precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬆	3.44%	19.71%	92.10%	16.53%
11.4.02	% of footway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		L	Stat	⬆	3.44%	19.71%	92.10%	17.47%
11.4.03	Tonnes of salt used		L	Stat	⬆	No data	1,068	126	252
11.4.04	Total actual length treated with precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬆	5,576.00	381.27	397.24	895.81
11.4.05	Number of grit bins per Km of footway network		H	Stat	⬆	0.87	0.78	1.33	0.86
Condition/Asset Preservation									
12.1.01 (PI 47)	% of footway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	L	PI	⬇	17.10%	0.00%	10.14%	8.22%
12.1.02 (PI 48)	% of footway length treated	Y	M	PI	⬆	1.05%	0.69%	0.58%	0.92%
12.2.01	% of footway area – surface treated		H	Stat	⬆	0.46%	0.00%	0.00%	0.25%
12.2.02	% of footway area – resurfaced		H	Stat	⬆	0.28%	0.72%	0.00%	0.30%
12.2.04	% of footway area – planned patching		H	Stat	⬆	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	0.04%
12.2.03	% of footway area – reconstructed		H	Stat	⬆	0.35%	0.00%	0.57%	0.43%
Financial									
16.1.01 (PI 49a)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length	Y	L	PI	⬆	£2,354	£878	£709	£1,294
16.1.02 (PI 58)	Cost per Km of footway travelled for salting treatment		L	PI	⬇	No data	£379	£467	£576

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <small>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</small>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP					
					PIN					
					Ideal Position Authority					
		South Lanarkshire Council	Stirling Council	West Lothian Council		Group Average				
Ref	Indicator									
16.1.03 (PI 49b)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length (excluding client cost)		L	PI	⬇	£2,134	£697	£141	£1,259	
16.1.04 (PI 49c)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by square metres of footway area treated		L	PI	⬇	£107.86	£59.87	£66.24	£73.79	
16.3.01	Total cost of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£1,734,269	£0	£0	£263,149	
16.3.02	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		L	Stat	⬇	£0	£2,500	£0	£20,220	
16.3.03	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£1,407	£697	£663	£981	
16.3.04	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£728	No data	No data	£234	
16.3.05	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	£46	£73	
16.3.07	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	65.91%	100.00%	93.48%	88.82%	
16.3.08	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	34.09%	No data	No data	13.15%	
16.3.09	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.00%	6.52%	2.41%	
Structures										
Safety										
31.1.01 (PI 300)	% of principal inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	100.00%	1.20%	98.67%	65.28%	
31.1.02 (PI 301)	% of general inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	100.00%	38.98%	99.64%	91.57%	
Condition/Asset Preservation										
32.1.01 (PI 302)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - average BSCLav	Y	H	PI	⬆	84.08	80.66	89.27	86.64	
32.1.02 (PI 303)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - critical BSCLcrit	Y	H	PI	⬆	73.02	70.47	81.67	76.63	
32.3.01	% of bridges subject to monitoring/special inspection regimes		H	Stat	⬇	2.33%	2.36%	0.34%	3.67%	
32.3.02	No of Council owned bridges failing assessment		H	Stat	⬇	3	24	4	17	
32.3.03	No of privately owned bridges failing assessment on Council road network		H	Stat	⬇	8	5	0	5	
Functionality										
34.1.01 (PI 304)	% of Council owned bridges failing European standards		H	PI	⬇	0.39%	4.72%	0.69%	3.06%	
34.2.01 (PI 305)	% of Council road bridges with unacceptable weight, height or width restriction		H	PI	⬇	1.17%	5.31%	1.03%	1.53%	
34.3.01	No of Council bridges weight restricted (excluding acceptable weight restrictions)		H	Stat	⬇	5	12	1	4	
34.3.02	No of Council bridges with imposed height / width restriction (for year on year comparison)		H	Stat	⬇	4	15	5	5	
34.3.03	No of Council bridges with acceptable weight restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	0	No data	3	3	
34.3.04	No of Council bridges with imposed width restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	3	7	3	3	
34.3.05	No of Council bridges with imposed height restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	1	8	2	2	
Financial										
36.1.01 (PI 306)	Annual budget allocated as a % of cost of identified work (from AMP)		L	PI	⬆	31.44%	18.36%	37.81%	18.86%	
36.2.01 (PI 307)	% of allocated budget spent per annum		L	PI	⬆	34.15%	54.40%	51.50%	53.46%	
36.2.02 (PI 308)	Cost of identified potential work as a % of total structures valuation		L	PI	⬇	1.01%	1.25%	1.89%	4.45%	
36.3.01	% of budget spent repairing 3rd party damage		L	Stat	⬇	4.17%	No data	0.18%	8.35%	
36.3.02	Cost to remove unacceptable restrictions by weight/height/width		L	Stat	⬇	£0	£0	£0	£1,896,124	
Traffic Management Systems										
Safety										
41.1.01 (PI 55)	% of faults rectified within target time	Y	H	Stat	⬆	99.32%	95.00%	98.27%	95.09%	
41.1.02 (PI 56)	% of faults rectified on first visit		M	Stat	⬆	99.12%	No data	93.08%	93.74%	

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP				
					PIN	8120	8040	8109	Group Average
					<div>Ideal Position</div> <div>Authority</div>	South Lanarkshire Council	Stirling Council	West Lothian Council	
Ref	Indicator								
	<b>Financial</b>								
46.1.01	% of Traffic Management Systems expenditure which is planned maintenance spend		L	Stat	⬆	91.59%	No data	No data	43.92%
	<b>Street Furniture</b>								
	<b>Financial</b>								
56.1.01	% of total Roads & Lighting expenditure which is spent on Street Furniture		L	Stat	⬆	1.16%	No data	6.09%	2.33%
	<b>All assets service delivery</b>								
	<b>Safety</b>								
61.1.01 (PI 60)	Km inspected per Safety Inspector (carriageways & footways)		H	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	3,377.00	3,377.00

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP					
Performance Indicator Results 2016-17					PIN	Ideal Position Authority	8120 South Lanarkshire Council	8040 Stirling Council	8109 West Lothian Council	Group Average
Authorities in red have NOT returned data										
Ref	Indicator									
	Street Lighting									
	Safety									
21.2.01 (PI 39)	% of columns with a valid structural inspection (last 6 years)		L	PI	↑	100.00%	No data	0.00%	59.14%	
21.2.02 (PI 40)	% of street lanterns with a valid Electrical Test Certificate.		H	PI	↑	100.00%	No data	90.01%	67.15%	
	Condition/Asset Preservation									
22.2.01 (PI 29a)	Routine faults as a % of street lighting stock	Y	H	PI	↓	19.72%	14.07%	9.29%	14.64%	
22.2.02	% of columns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life	Y	M	Stat	↓	34.55%	25.37%	20.20%	27.54%	
22.2.03	% of lanterns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life		L	Stat	↓	No data	28.55%	23.53%	29.52%	
22.3.02	% of columns replaced		M	Stat	⬇	7.87%	4.29%	1.80%	2.74%	
22.3.03	% of lanterns replaced		M	Stat	⬇	21.95%	15.42%	3.71%	12.64%	
	Customer Service									
23.1.01 (PI 03)	% of repairs within 7 days	Y	H	PI	↑	No data	82.77%	79.60%	91.64%	
23.2.01 (PI 20)	Average time taken to repair (days)		H	PI	↓	No data	20.00	5.10	7.00	
23.2.02 (PI 27)	Public calls as a % of faults		M	PI	⬇	20.32%	57.76%	61.70%	65.91%	
23.2.03 (PI 28)	Public calls as a % of street lights		M	PI	⬇	4.01%	8.13%	5.73%	8.61%	
23.3.01	% of street lights giving modern white light		M	Stat	↑	85.50%	55.66%	35.41%	58.96%	
23.3.02	% of street lights which are LED		M	Stat	↑	79.61%	51.95%	29.38%	36.26%	
	Availability									
24.3.01	Number of night inspections annually		H	Stat	⬇	0	12	9	6	
	Financial									
26.1.01 (PI 35)	Actual capital investment as a % of annual depreciation (from AMP)		M	PI	↑	214.31%	No data	102.07%	117.14%	
26.1.02 (PI 36)	Depreciated Replacement Cost (DRC) as a % of Gross Replacement Cost (GRC)		M	PI	↓	56.30%	43.14%	55.19%	57.45%	
26.2.01 (PI 33)	Average cost (client) of repairing routine faults (eg component replacement)		L	PI	↓	£63.74	No data	No data	£106.11	
26.2.02 (PI 34b)	Individual cost of night inspecting a street light per light		M	PI	↓	No data	£0.04	£0.06	£0.04	
26.2.03 (PI 42)	Revenue allocation per street light excluding electricity costs		H	PI	↓	£20.10	No data	£38.15	£25.47	
26.2.04 (PI 43)	Capital allocation per street light – replacement		H	PI	↓	No data	No data	£43.99	£34.15	
26.2.05 (PI 01c)	Total investment in infrastructure per street light		H	PI	↓	£167.67	£180.76	£82.14	£98.64	
26.3.02 (PI 06a)	Energy cost per street lamp		H	Stat	↓	£26.99	£35.24	£44.73	£36.14	
	Environmental									
27.1.01 (PI 18b)	Average annual electricity consumption per street light (kWhrs)	Y	M	PI	↓	228.48	324.16	363.12	301.37	
27.3.01 (PI 37b)	Co2 emissions (kg) per street light		M	Stat	↓	121.805	172.811	193.581	160.661	
27.3.04 (PI 38b)	% of street lights dimmable		M	Stat	↑	80.09%	0.00%	0.00%	27.35%	
27.3.03	% change in energy consumption from year to year (kWh)		H	Stat	⬇	-36.71%	-17.07%	-6.59%	-10.03%	

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17				SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP	FAMILY GROUP 4 (Urban)					
		PIN	8087				8014	8137	8071	8060	8121		
		Authority	Clackmannanshire Council				East Dunbartonshire Council	East Renfrewshire Council	Falkirk Council	Inverclyde Council	North Lanarkshire Council		
Ref	Indicator						Ideal Position						
	SCOTS headline financial PI												
0.1.01 (PI 63)	Total expenditure by carriageway network length (£ per Km)	Y	H	PI				£16,822	No data	£19,201	£10,897	£22,695	£15
	Customer Service												
3.1.01 (PI 37)	% of customer enquiries/requests for service closed off within Council's own identified response times.		H	PI				No data	No data	No data	60.44%	96.96%	82.
3.2.01 (PI 38)	% of abnormal load notifications dealt with in time.		H	PI				No data	No data	100.00%	99.52%	100.00%	100.
3.3.01 (PI 61)	% of enquiries made under the Freedom of Information Act that were dealt with within the allowable time		H	Stat				No data	No data	82.95%	100.00%	97.67%	98.
3.3.02	Total number of enquiries received under the Freedom of Information Act		H	Stat				No data	No data	88	60	86	
	Carriageways												
	Safety												
1.1.01 (PI 03a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times.		M	PI				96.15%	95.00%	98.67%	85.71%	91.07%	89.
1.2.01 (PI 39)	% of safety inspections completed on time.		H	PI				86.67%	100.00%	75.00%	97.06%	92.26%	86.
1.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		M	Stat				26	20	677	7	56	1
1.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat				No data	97	51	67	32	
1.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of carriageway		H	Stat				No data	0.19	0.11	0.07	0.09	
1.4.01 (PI 114)	% of carriageway network subject to precautionary salting treatment	Y	H	Stat				58.29%	82.98%	65.12%	37.25%	48.44%	36.
1.4.02	% carriageway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat				No data	No data	No data	4.94%	5.50%	36.
1.4.03	Route efficiency (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat				64.12%	64.09%	56.41%	80.96%	52.80%	57.
1.4.04	Average route length (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat				65.50	83.28	50.49	45.00	42.36	7
1.4.05	Total actual length treated with precautionary treatment (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat				9,912	68,320	40,985	20,840	15,723	107
1.4.06	% top priority routes completed on time (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat				100.00%	100.00%	98.82%	100.00%	100.00%	100.
1.4.07	Total salt usage by total network length		M	Stat				3.19	6.82	7.59	3.91	6.66	
1.4.08	Total salt usage by total actual precautionary treated length		M	Stat				0.09	0.05	0.09	0.18	0.16	
1.4.09	Average salt usage (tonnes) per precautionary run		M	Stat				0.00	2.53	2.38	6.68	3.31	
1.4.10	The stated (policy) time for completion of your highest priority routes (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat				3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	0.00	
1.4.11	The stated (policy) time for mustering (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat				1.00	0.75	2.00	1.00	0.00	
	Condition/Asset Preservation												
2.1.01 (PI 40)	% of carriageway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	H	PI				35.20%	35.01%	39.20%	35.20%	40.50%	31.
2.1.02 (PI 41)	% of carriageway length treated	Y	H	PI				6.26%	5.07%	2.03%	3.01%	11.24%	3.
2.3.01	% of carriageway area – surface dressed		H	Stat				2.88%	1.01%	0.00%	2.07%	2.39%	0.
2.3.02	% of carriageway area – thin/micro surface (up to 25mm)		H	Stat				0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.41%	3.44%	0.
2.3.03	% of carriageway area – thin overlay (>25mm – 60mm)		H	Stat				0.08%	0.41%	0.27%	0.05%	0.02%	0.
2.3.04	% of carriageway area – moderate overlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat				0.58%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.
2.3.05	% of carriageway area – structural overlay (>100mm)		H	Stat				0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.
2.3.06	% of carriageway area – thin inlay (up to 60mm)		H	Stat				0.47%	0.00%	1.49%	0.18%	0.00%	0.
2.3.07	% of carriageway area – moderate inlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat				1.45%	2.76%	0.34%	0.23%	3.97%	0.
2.3.08	% of carriageway area – structural inlay (>100mm)		H	Stat				0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%	0.
2.3.14	% of carriageway area – planned patching		H	Stat				0.18%	0.34%	0.16%	0.01%	0.35%	0.



SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div>								GRP	FAMILY GROUP 4 (Urban)					
								PIN	8087	8014	8137	8071	8060	8121
								<div>Ideal Position</div> <div>Authority</div>	Clackmannanshire Council	East Dunbartonshire Council	East Renfrewshire Council	Falkirk Council	Inverclyde Council	North Lanarkshire Council
					SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat							
Ref	Indicator													
2.3.09	% of carriageway area – fully reconstructed		H	Stat	⬇				0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2.3.10 (PI 02d)	% of "A" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇				22.17%	29.16%	16.30%	28.59%	29.62%	19.17%
2.3.11	% of "B" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇				26.34%	27.00%	30.20%	39.55%	37.58%	22.17%
2.3.12	% of "C" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇				28.40%	27.17%	32.70%	37.65%	43.43%	25.17%
2.3.13	% of "U" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇				41.75%	43.46%	44.80%	35.23%	41.17%	36.17%
Financial														
6.1.01 (PI 42a)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length	Y	H	PI	⬇				£9,957	No data	£10,801	£5,557	£13,451	£6,346
6.1.02 (PI 57)	Total cost per Km of carriageway travelled for precautionary salting treatment		M	PI	⬇				£12.47	£1.86	£13.52	£28.02	£13.30	£1.86
6.1.03 (PI 42b)	Total carriageway contractor maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length (excluding client cost)		H	PI	⬇				£7,916	No data	£6,659	£4,245	£10,783	£5,557
6.1.04 (PI 42c)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by square metres of carriageway area treated		H	PI	⬇				£26.04	£0.00	£69.29	£25.54	£22.85	£3.04
6.3.01	Total cost of addressing total backlog by road length		H	Stat	⬇				£37,661	£42,369	No data	£38,752	£39,267	£35,267
6.3.02	Total cost of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬇				£464,352	No data	£1,223,715	£933,095	£762,030	£2,346,352
6.3.03	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		H	Stat	⬇				No data	£4,412	£1,977	£460	£44,093	£308,412
6.3.04	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬇				£6,131	No data	£3,411	£2,508	£8,431	£3,411
6.3.05	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬇				£1,611	No data	£2,544	£954	£2,063	£1,611
6.3.06	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		H	Stat	⬇				£173	No data	£697	£783	£289	£173
6.3.08	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬆				77.46%	No data	51.29%	59.07%	78.19%	70.19%
6.3.09	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		M	Stat	⬇				20.35%	No data	38.24%	22.47%	19.13%	26.19%
6.3.10	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		M	Stat	⬇				2.19%	No data	10.47%	18.45%	2.68%	2.19%
Footways														
Safety														
11.1.01 (PI 45a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times		H	PI	⬆				91.67%	100.00%	No data	100.00%	96.15%	83.33%
11.2.01 (PI 46)	% of safety inspections completed on time		M	PI	⬆				90.91%	39.13%	75.00%	95.54%	100.00%	83.33%
11.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		L	Stat	⬇				12	3	No data	4	26	12
11.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat	⬇				No data	23	12	34	20	No data
11.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of footway		H	Stat	⬇				No data	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.04	No data
11.4.01 (PI 113)	% of footway subject to precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬇				18.37%	15.35%	8.92%	6.65%	0.00%	6.65%
11.4.02	% of footway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		L	Stat	⬇				18.37%	15.35%	8.92%	6.65%	0.00%	6.65%
11.4.03	Tonnes of salt used		L	Stat	⬇				121	No data	114	356	24	1
11.4.04	Total actual length treated with precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬇				95.33	3,009.60	242.86	1,376.52	0.00	42.86
11.4.05	Number of grit bins per Km of footway network		H	Stat	⬇				0.77	0.55	0.47	0.59	0.83	0.77
Condition/Asset Preservation														
12.1.01 (PI 47)	% of footway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	L	PI	⬇				31.30%	25.50%	No data	5.27%	20.00%	13.30%
12.1.02 (PI 48)	% of footway length treated	Y	M	PI	⬇				0.78%	No data	0.52%	0.76%	2.81%	1.78%
12.2.01	% of footway area – surface treated		H	Stat	⬇				0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.61%	0.00%	0.00%
12.2.02	% of footway area – resurfaced		H	Stat	⬇				0.75%	0.37%	0.00%	0.23%	2.97%	0.75%
12.2.04	% of footway area – planned patching		H	Stat	⬇				0.00%	0.09%	0.30%	0.00%	0.09%	0.00%
12.2.03	% of footway area – reconstructed		H	Stat	⬇				0.00%	0.01%	0.58%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%
Financial														
16.1.01 (PI 49a)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length	Y	L	PI	⬇				£864	No data	£1,402	£477	£2,333	£1,402
16.1.02 (PI 58)	Cost per Km of footway travelled for salting treatment		L	PI	⬇				£1,224	£7	£190	£199	No data	£2,333

<div> <div>SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4</div> <div>Performance Indicator Results 2016-17</div> <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div> </div>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	<div> <div>GRP</div> <div>PIN</div> <div>Authority</div> <div>Ideal Position</div> </div>	FAMILY GROUP 4 (Urban)					
						8087	8014	8137	8071	8060	8121
						Clackmannanshire Council	East Dunbartonshire Council	East Renfrewshire Council	Falkirk Council	Inverclyde Council	North Lanarkshire Council
Ref	Indicator										
16.1.03 (PI 49b)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length (excluding client cost)		L	PI	⬆	£671	No data	£726	£355	£2,125	#
16.1.04 (PI 49c)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by square metres of footway area treated		L	PI	⬆	£61.40	£0.00	£79.00	£35.68	£34.61	£5
16.3.01	Total cost of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£75,114	£0	£139,660	£167,747	£11,000	£810
16.3.02	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		L	Stat	⬇	No data	£1,133	£0	£0	£0	£281
16.3.03	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	£494	No data	£531	£258	£2,101	#
16.3.04	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	£177	No data	£196	£97	£25	#
16.3.05	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data	No
16.3.07	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	73.65%	No data	73.07%	72.62%	98.85%	65
16.3.08	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	26.35%	No data	26.93%	27.38%	1.15%	34
16.3.09	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0
	<b>Structures</b>										
	<b>Safety</b>										
31.1.01 (PI 300)	% of principal inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	100.00%	100.00%	84.62%	No data	100.00%	100
31.1.02 (PI 301)	% of general inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	81.42%	100.00%	100
	<b>Condition/Asset Preservation</b>										
32.1.01 (PI 302)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - average BSCLav	Y	H	PI	⬆	86.88	86.00	96.30	78.00	83.87	9
32.1.02 (PI 303)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - critical BSCLcrit	Y	H	PI	⬆	81.83	82.30	78.00	65.00	71.00	8
32.3.01	% of bridges subject to monitoring/special inspection regimes		H	Stat	⬇	No data	0.97%	2.76%	0.38%	3.70%	No
32.3.02	No of Council owned bridges failing assessment		H	Stat	⬇	No data	2	4	6	1	
32.3.03	No of privately owned bridges failing assessment on Council road network		H	Stat	⬇	No data	2	3	3	5	
	<b>Functionality</b>										
34.1.01 (PI 304)	% of Council owned bridges failing European standards		H	PI	⬇	No data	0.97%	1.84%	2.26%	0.62%	2
34.2.01 (PI 305)	% of Council road bridges with unacceptable weight, height or width restriction		H	PI	⬇	0.00%	0.48%	No data	No data	1.23%	0
34.3.01	No of Council bridges weight restricted (excluding acceptable weight restrictions)		H	Stat	⬇	0	0	1	No data	0	
34.3.02	No of Council bridges with imposed height / width restriction (for year on year comparison)		H	Stat	⬆	0	1	No data	No data	2	
34.3.03	No of Council bridges with acceptable weight restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬆	2	1	4	No data	1	
34.3.04	No of Council bridges with imposed width restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬆	0	0	No data	No data	0	
34.3.05	No of Council bridges with imposed height restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬆	0	1	0	No data	2	
	<b>Financial</b>										
36.1.01 (PI 306)	Annual budget allocated as a % of cost of identified work (from AMP)		L	PI	⬆	108.46%	No data	No data	54.14%	5.94%	98
36.2.01 (PI 307)	% of allocated budget spent per annum		L	PI	⬆	57.46%	No data	48.41%	95.76%	No data	105
36.2.02 (PI 308)	Cost of identified potential work as a % of total structures valuation		L	PI	⬆	0.29%	No data	No data	0.99%	0.23%	0
36.3.01	% of budget spent repairing 3rd party damage		L	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	9.13%	0.07%	0.00%	3
36.3.02	Cost to remove unacceptable restrictions by weight/height/width		L	Stat	⬆	£0	£0	£0	£0	£250,000	
	<b>Traffic Management Systems</b>										
	<b>Safety</b>										
41.1.01 (PI 55)	% of faults rectified within target time	Y	H	Stat	⬆	No data	99.49%	96.57%	96.83%	83.87%	93
41.1.02 (PI 56)	% of faults rectified on first visit		M	Stat	⬆	No data	99.49%	94.86%	92.31%	64.52%	No

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP	FAMILY GROUP 4 (Urban)						
					PIN	8087	8014	8137	8071	8060	8121	
					<div>Ideal Position</div> <div>Authority</div>	Clackmannanshire Council	East Dunbartonshire Council	East Renfrewshire Council	Falkirk Council	Inverclyde Council	North Lanarkshire Council	
Ref	Indicator											
46.1.01	Financial % of Traffic Management Systems expenditure which is planned maintenance spend		L	Stat	⬆	73.52%	No data	100.00%	No data	92.53%	40.00%	
	Street Furniture											
56.1.01	Financial % of total Roads & Lighting expenditure which is spent on Street Furniture		L	Stat		0.89%	No data	2.04%	2.42%	0.71%	3.00%	
	All assets service delivery				⬆							
61.1.01 (PI 60)	Safety Km inspected per Safety Inspector (carriageways & footways)		H	Stat		No data	No data	No data	No data	439.00	No data	

<div> <div>SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4</div> <div>Performance Indicator Results 2016-17</div> <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div> </div>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	<div> <div>GRP</div> <div>PIN</div> <div>Authority</div> </div>	FAMILY GROUP 4 (Urban)					
						8087	8014	8137	8071	8060	8121
						Clackmannanshire Council	East Dunbartonshire Council	East Renfrewshire Council	Falkirk Council	Inverclyde Council	North Lanarkshire Council
						Ideal Position					
Ref	Indicator										
	<b>Street Lighting</b>										
	<b>Safety</b>										
21.2.01 (PI 39)	% of columns with a valid structural inspection (last 6 years)		L	PI	↑	No data	0.00%	No data	84.24%	0.00%	11.11%
21.2.02 (PI 40)	% of street lanterns with a valid Electrical Test Certificate.		H	PI	↑	No data	0.00%	95.19%	54.30%	72.85%	No data
	<b>Condition/Asset Preservation</b>										
22.2.01 (PI 29a)	Routine faults as a % of street lighting stock	Y	H	PI	↓	10.71%	16.29%	7.17%	11.92%	16.77%	13.04%
22.2.02	% of columns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life	Y	M	Stat	↓	No data	41.21%	52.96%	23.49%	33.06%	31.11%
22.2.03	% of lanterns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life		L	Stat	↓	No data	22.79%	No data	22.56%	42.13%	38.89%
22.3.02	% of columns replaced		M	Stat	↔	2.72%	1.13%	1.13%	1.94%	2.81%	0.00%
22.3.03	% of lanterns replaced		M	Stat	↔	28.29%	6.48%	15.12%	6.17%	11.87%	8.33%
	<b>Customer Service</b>										
23.1.01 (PI 03)	% of repairs within 7 days	Y	H	PI	↑	85.77%	92.40%	97.00%	90.38%	88.77%	81.11%
23.2.01 (PI 20)	Average time taken to repair (days)		H	PI	↓	No data	No data	3.70	3.90	4.80	No data
23.2.02 (PI 27)	Public calls as a % of faults		M	PI	↔	100.00%	113.42%	140.76%	68.35%	74.61%	64.00%
23.2.03 (PI 28)	Public calls as a % of street lights		M	PI	↔	10.71%	18.48%	10.10%	8.14%	12.51%	8.33%
23.3.01	% of street lights giving modern white light		M	Stat	↑	53.41%	46.78%	46.48%	53.59%	57.64%	18.18%
23.3.02	% of street lights which are LED		M	Stat	↑	43.99%	42.25%	34.13%	28.29%	23.04%	9.09%
	<b>Availability</b>										
24.3.01	Number of night inspections annually		H	Stat	↔	0	0	12	1	10	No data
	<b>Financial</b>										
26.1.01 (PI 35)	Actual capital investment as a % of annual depreciation (from AMP)		M	PI	↑	60.95%	No data	No data	31.23%	130.40%	60.00%
26.1.02 (PI 36)	Depreciated Replacement Cost (DRC) as a % of Gross Replacement Cost (GRC)		M	PI	↓	53.46%	52.93%	No data	52.44%	53.81%	53.33%
26.2.01 (PI 33)	Average cost (client) of repairing routine faults (eg component replacement)		L	PI	↓	£155.33	No data	£123.68	£30.87	£154.87	£8.33
26.2.02 (PI 34b)	Individual cost of night inspecting a street light per light		M	PI	↓	No data	No data	No data	£0.07	£0.04	No data
26.2.03 (PI 42)	Revenue allocation per street light excluding electricity costs		H	PI	↓	£29.29	No data	£32.72	£33.83	£29.35	£2.00
26.2.04 (PI 43)	Capital allocation per street light – replacement		H	PI	↓	£41.00	No data	£14.52	£19.89	£68.30	£3.00
26.2.05 (PI 01c)	Total investment in infrastructure per street light		H	PI	↓	£70.29	No data	£47.24	£53.72	£97.65	£5.00
26.3.02 (PI 06a)	Energy cost per street lamp		H	Stat	↓	£37.04	No data	£42.89	£37.14	£39.92	£4.00
	<b>Environmental</b>										
27.1.01 (PI 18b)	Average annual electricity consumption per street light (kWHrs)	Y	M	PI	↓	329.52	315.50	390.00	343.55	360.26	36.00
27.3.01 (PI 37b)	Co2 emissions (kg) per street light		M	Stat	↓	175.669	168.193	207.911	183.145	192.053	194.00
27.3.04 (PI 38b)	% of street lights dimmable		M	Stat	↑	17.56%	43.33%	2.92%	7.78%	5.22%	0.00%
27.3.03	% change in energy consumption from year to year (kWH)		H	Stat	↔	-4.44%	-9.38%	-3.89%	-7.48%	-6.76%	-6.00%

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <div>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</div>				SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP				FAMILY GROUP		
Ref	Indicator	Y	H				PI	PIN	8036	8057		8073	8159
								Ideal Position	Renfrewshire Council	West Dunbartonshire Council	Group Average	Aberdeen City Council	Dundee City Council
	<b>SCOTS headline financial PI</b>												
0.1.01 (PI 63)	Total expenditure by carriageway network length (£ per Km)	Y	H	PI			£28,529	£18,852	£18,925		£14,325	£15,313	
	<b>Customer Service</b>												
3.1.01 (PI 37)	% of customer enquiries/requests for service closed off within Council's own identified response times.		H	PI			58.31%	No data	74.49%		No data	No data	
3.2.01 (PI 38)	% of abnormal load notifications dealt with in time.		H	PI			100.00%	No data	99.90%		100.00%	No data	
3.3.01 (PI 61)	% of enquiries made under the Freedom of Information Act that were dealt with within the allowable time		H	Stat			100.00%	81.82%	93.46%		95.62%	No data	
3.3.02	Total number of enquiries received under the Freedom of Information Act		H	Stat			197	55	111		137	54	
	<b>Carriageways</b>												
	<b>Safety</b>												
1.1.01 (PI 03a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times.		M	PI			71.33%	100.00%	90.91%		98.77%	100.00%	
1.2.01 (PI 39)	% of safety inspections completed on time.		H	PI			70.80%	93.33%	87.76%		No data	52.82%	
1.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		M	Stat			286	32	330		81	33	
1.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat			190	No data	95		119	62	
1.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of carriageway		H	Stat			0.22	No data	0.13		0.13	0.11	
1.4.01 (PI 114)	% of carriageway network subject to precautionary salting treatment	Y	H	Stat			56.80%	58.48%	55.43%		48.30%	56.52%	
1.4.02	% carriageway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat			35.50%	40.55%	24.51%		39.98%	56.52%	
1.4.03	Route efficiency (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat			80.00%	60.00%	64.53%		82.58%	48.58%	
1.4.04	Average route length (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat			54.55	62.50	59.38		44.50	47.64	
1.4.05	Total actual length treated with precautionary treatment (Winter Maintenance operations)		M	Stat			27,840	1,800	36,553		4,153	9,072	
1.4.06	% top priority routes completed on time (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat			100.00%	100.00%	99.85%		100.00%	81.12%	
1.4.07	Total salt usage by total network length		M	Stat			5.74	5.56	6.00		5.04	8.56	
1.4.08	Total salt usage by total actual precautionary treated length		M	Stat			0.17	1.19	0.26		1.11	0.54	
1.4.09	Average salt usage (tonnes) per precautionary run		M	Stat			7.61	39.31	7.73		0.00	4.76	
1.4.10	The stated (policy) time for completion of treatment of your highest priority routes (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat			2.50	3.00	2.75		3.00	2.00	
1.4.11	The stated (policy) time for mustering (Winter Maintenance operations)		H	Stat			1.00	1.00	1.09		1.00	1.00	
	<b>Condition/Asset Preservation</b>												
2.1.01 (PI 40)	% of carriageway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	H	PI			33.90%	32.90%	34.53%		30.61%	26.70%	
2.1.02 (PI 41)	% of carriageway length treated	Y	H	PI			3.59%	3.27%	4.73%		No data	4.16%	
2.3.01	% of carriageway area – surface dressed		H	Stat			0.81%	0.00%	1.18%		0.00%	1.97%	
2.3.02	% of carriageway area – thin/micro surface (up to 25mm)		H	Stat			0.00%	1.20%	0.66%		0.00%	0.76%	
2.3.03	% of carriageway area – thin overlay (>25mm – 60mm)		H	Stat			0.00%	1.08%	0.27%		1.04%	0.12%	
2.3.04	% of carriageway area – moderate overlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat			0.00%	0.00%	0.09%		0.11%	0.00%	
2.3.05	% of carriageway area – structural overlay (>100mm)		H	Stat			0.00%	0.00%	0.01%		0.00%	0.00%	
2.3.06	% of carriageway area – thin inlay (up to 60mm)		H	Stat			0.00%	0.52%	0.40%		0.00%	1.00%	
2.3.07	% of carriageway area – moderate inlay (>60mm – 100mm)		H	Stat			0.00%	0.90%	1.25%		0.00%	0.76%	
2.3.08	% of carriageway area – structural inlay (>100mm)		H	Stat			0.00%	0.00%	0.12%		0.02%	0.29%	
2.3.14	% of carriageway area – planned patching		H	Stat			0.00%	0.10%	0.16%		0.00%	0.24%	

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP				FAMILY GROUP	
					PIN	8036	8057		8073	8159
					Ideal Position Authority	Renfrewshire Council	West Dunbartonshire Council	Group Average	Aberdeen City Council	Dundee City Council
Ref	Indicator									
2.3.09	% of carriageway area – fully reconstructed		H	Stat	⬆	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2.3.10 (PI 02d)	% of "A" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	22.40%	27.53%	24.37%	20.86%	17.54%
2.3.11	% of "B" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	27.50%	24.25%	29.32%	25.61%	18.44%
2.3.12	% of "C" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	36.90%	32.15%	33.00%	27.09%	15.38%
2.3.13	% of "U" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	36.60%	34.24%	39.26%	32.27%	31.95%
Financial										
6.1.01 (PI 42a)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length	Y	H	PI	⬇	£9,729	£12,567	£9,864	£5,419	£6,268
6.1.02 (PI 57)	Total cost per Km of carriageway travelled for precautionary salting treatment		M	PI	⬇	£22.18	£97.09	£25.61	£320.18	£55.54
6.1.03 (PI 42b)	Total carriageway contractor maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length (excluding client cost)		H	PI	⬇	£4,159	£11,473	£7,236	£4,697	£4,586
6.1.04 (PI 42c)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by square metres of carriageway area treated		H	PI	⬇	£185.94	£57.45	£52.54	£68.27	£17.30
6.3.01	Total cost of addressing total backlog by road length		H	Stat	⬇	No data	£32,976	£37,702	£34,119	£31,378
6.3.02	Total cost of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£1,040,317	£559,286	£1,046,986	£986,446	£823,031
6.3.03	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		H	Stat	⬇	£8,178	No data	£61,289	£5,717	No data
6.3.04	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£2,019	£4,976	£4,467	£2,910	£4,586
6.3.05	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£1,231	£1,454	£1,617	£1,080	£1,436
6.3.06	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£909	£688	£529	£609	£217
6.3.08	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬆	48.54%	69.91%	65.41%	63.28%	73.50%
6.3.09	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		M	Stat	⬇	29.60%	20.42%	25.66%	23.49%	23.01%
6.3.10	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		M	Stat	⬇	21.86%	9.67%	8.93%	13.23%	3.48%
Footways										
Safety										
11.1.01 (PI 45a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times		H	PI	⬆	No data	No data	94.23%	50.00%	100.00%
11.2.01 (PI 46)	% of safety inspections completed on time		M	PI	⬆	64.13%	No data	78.35%	No data	No data
11.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		L	Stat	⬇	1	No data	61	6	6
11.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat	⬇	51	No data	32	23	35
11.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of footway		H	Stat	⬇	0.04	No data	0.03	0.01	0.04
11.4.01 (PI 113)	% of footway subject to precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬇	0.41%	No data	8.01%	0.22%	0.00%
11.4.02	% of footway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		L	Stat	⬇	13.18%	No data	9.84%	0.22%	23.04%
11.4.03	Tonnes of salt used		L	Stat	⬇	No data	No data	460	No data	91
11.4.04	Total actual length treated with precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬇	0.00	No data	736.28	0.00	0.00
11.4.05	Number of grit bins per Km of footway network		H	Stat	⬇	0.37	0.00	0.54	0.49	0.88
Condition/Asset Preservation										
12.1.01 (PI 47)	% of footway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	L	PI	⬇	No data	39.00%	22.42%	25.05%	No data
12.1.02 (PI 48)	% of footway length treated	Y	M	PI	⬇	No data	No data	1.18%	0.00%	0.75%
12.2.01	% of footway area – surface treated		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.00%	0.08%	0.00%	0.57%
12.2.02	% of footway area – resurfaced		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.00%	0.65%	0.10%	0.00%
12.2.04	% of footway area – planned patching		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.00%	0.06%	0.14%	0.00%
12.2.03	% of footway area – reconstructed		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.00%	0.10%	0.00%	0.39%
Financial										
16.1.01 (PI 49a)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length	Y	L	PI	⬇	No data	£628	£1,134	£489	£749
16.1.02 (PI 58)	Cost per Km of footway travelled for salting treatment		L	PI	⬇	No data	No data	£746	No data	No data



SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4				SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP				FAMILY GROUP	
Performance Indicator Results 2016-17							PIN	8036	8057		8073	8159
Authorities in red have NOT returned data							Ideal Position	Renfrewshire Council	West Dunbartonshire Council	Group Average	Aberdeen City Council	Dundee City Council
Ref	Indicator											
16.1.03 (PI 49b)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length (excluding client cost)		L	PI	⬇	£1,115	£523	£905	£423	£749		
16.1.04 (PI 49c)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by square metres of footway area treated		L	PI	⬇	No data	No data	£43.95	£130.82	£36.98		
16.3.01	Total cost of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£0	£36,528	£155,027	£82,908	£209,296		
16.3.02	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		L	Stat	⬇	£29,472	No data	£51,943	£8,414	No data		
16.3.03	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£1,115	£125	£738	£377	£528		
16.3.04	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	No data	£51	£137	£45	£221		
16.3.05	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	No data	£320	£320	No data	No data		
16.3.07	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	100.00%	25.20%	72.75%	89.26%	70.47%		
16.3.08	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	No data	10.34%	21.05%	10.74%	29.53%		
16.3.09	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	0.00%	64.46%	8.06%	0.00%	0.00%		
	Structures											
	Safety											
31.1.01 (PI 300)	% of principal inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	100.00%	No data	97.44%	25.00%	66.67%		
31.1.02 (PI 301)	% of general inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	100.00%	50.42%	91.48%	100.00%	84.62%		
	Condition/Asset Preservation											
32.1.01 (PI 302)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - average BSCLav	Y	H	PI	⬆	65.00	99.60	85.81	85.00	91.19		
32.1.02 (PI 303)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - critical BSCLcrit	Y	H	PI	⬆	70.00	98.90	78.73	68.00	85.16		
32.3.01	% of bridges subject to monitoring/special inspection regimes		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.84%	1.44%	0.32%	9.20%		
32.3.02	No of Council owned bridges failing assessment		H	Stat	⬇	28	0	7	0	4		
32.3.03	No of privately owned bridges failing assessment on Council road network		H	Stat	⬇	8	0	5	0	5		
	Functionality											
34.1.01 (PI 304)	% of Council owned bridges failing European standards		H	PI	⬇	9.30%	0.00%	2.47%	0.00%	4.60%		
34.2.01 (PI 305)	% of Council road bridges with unacceptable weight, height or width restriction		H	PI	⬇	No data	0.00%	0.39%	3.48%	4.60%		
34.3.01	No of Council bridges weight restricted (excluding acceptable weight restrictions)		H	Stat	⬇	2	0	0	2	4		
34.3.02	No of Council bridges with imposed height / width restriction (for year on year comparison)		H	Stat	⬇	No data	0	1	9	0		
34.3.03	No of Council bridges with acceptable weight restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	23	0	4	2	0		
34.3.04	No of Council bridges with imposed width restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	No data	0	0	0	0		
34.3.05	No of Council bridges with imposed height restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	No data	0	1	9	0		
	Financial											
36.1.01 (PI 306)	Annual budget allocated as a % of cost of identified work (from AMP)		L	PI	⬆	57.40%	No data	64.98%	42.86%	71.07%		
36.2.01 (PI 307)	% of allocated budget spent per annum		L	PI	⬆	83.56%	36.14%	71.15%	19.49%	No data		
36.2.02 (PI 308)	Cost of identified potential work as a % of total structures valuation		L	PI	⬇	1118.64%	No data	224.11%	0.32%	0.40%		
36.3.01	% of budget spent repairing 3rd party damage		L	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.00%	2.12%	8.95%	0.00%		
36.3.02	Cost to remove unacceptable restrictions by weight/height/width		L	Stat	⬇	£0	£0	£31,250	£0	£0		
	Traffic Management Systems											
	Safety											
41.1.01 (PI 55)	% of faults rectified within target time	Y	H	Stat	⬆	94.44%	94.52%	94.24%	97.24%	98.81%		
41.1.02 (PI 56)	% of faults rectified on first visit		M	Stat	⬆	79.41%	82.88%	85.58%	No data	99.32%		

**Authorities in red have NOT returned data**

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4					GRP					FAMILY GROUP	
Performance Indicator Results 2016-17						PIN	8036	8057		8073	8159
Authorities in red have NOT returned data							Ideal Position / Authority	Renfrewshire Council	West Dunbartonshire Council	Group Average	Aberdeen City Council
Ref	Indicator		Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat							
46.1.01	% of Traffic Management Systems expenditure which is planned maintenance spend		L	Stat	⬆	84.10%	93.62%	80.75%	28.47%	57.86%	
	Street Furniture										
56.1.01	% of total Roads & Lighting expenditure which is spent on Street Furniture		L	Stat	⬆	1.03%	2.27%	1.84%	1.61%	1.04%	
	All assets service delivery										
61.1.01 (PI 60)	Km inspected per Safety Inspector (carriageways & footways)		H	Stat	⬆	No data	No data	439.00	No data	No data	

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP			FAMILY GROUP		
Performance Indicator Results 2016-17					PIN	8036	8057		8073	8159
Authorities in red have NOT returned data					Ideal Position Authority	Renfrewshire Council	West Dunbartonshire Council	Group Average	Aberdeen City Council	Dundee City Council
Ref	Indicator									
	Street Lighting									
	Safety									
21.2.01 (PI 39)	% of columns with a valid structural inspection (last 6 years)		L	PI	↑	100.00%	53.57%	41.52%	No data	No data
21.2.02 (PI 40)	% of street lanterns with a valid Electrical Test Certificate.		H	PI	↑	56.17%	98.73%	62.87%	27.25%	72.10%
	Condition/Asset Preservation									
22.2.01 (PI 29a)	Routine faults as a % of street lighting stock	Y	H	PI	↓	19.74%	1.66%	12.27%	20.67%	9.04%
22.2.02	% of columns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life	Y	M	Stat	↓	51.21%	35.44%	38.45%	26.82%	24.88%
22.2.03	% of lanterns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life		L	Stat	↓	No data	0.00%	25.18%	29.41%	7.07%
22.3.02	% of columns replaced		M	Stat	⬇	2.93%	2.69%	2.01%	3.88%	2.70%
22.3.03	% of lanterns replaced		M	Stat	⬇	73.61%	0.00%	18.69%	6.88%	3.72%
	Customer Service									
23.1.01 (PI 03)	% of repairs within 7 days	Y	H	PI	↑	93.86%	99.00%	91.04%	53.58%	94.66%
23.2.01 (PI 20)	Average time taken to repair (days)		H	PI	↓	3.00	1.20	3.60	12.92	2.62
23.2.02 (PI 27)	Public calls as a % of faults		M	PI	⬇	84.73%	No data	92.36%	76.87%	36.89%
23.2.03 (PI 28)	Public calls as a % of street lights		M	PI	⬇	16.73%	No data	12.24%	15.89%	3.34%
23.3.01	% of street lights giving modern white light		M	Stat	↑	80.75%	99.72%	57.13%	55.87%	76.40%
23.3.02	% of street lights which are LED		M	Stat	↑	77.52%	99.72%	44.74%	12.97%	19.30%
	Availability									
24.3.01	Number of night inspections annually		H	Stat	⬇	No data	0	5	0	24
	Financial									
26.1.01 (PI 35)	Actual capital investment as a % of annual depreciation (from AMP)		M	PI	↑	No data	39.68%	64.64%	72.58%	65.12%
26.1.02 (PI 36)	Depreciated Replacement Cost (DRC) as a % of Gross Replacement Cost (GRC)		M	PI	↓	No data	79.03%	57.48%	45.46%	52.41%
26.2.01 (PI 33)	Average cost (client) of repairing routine faults (eg component replacement)		L	PI	↓	£55.60	No data	£100.28	£55.15	£125.97
26.2.02 (PI 34b)	Individual cost of night inspecting a street light per light		M	PI	↓	No data	No data	£0.05	No data	£0.07
26.2.03 (PI 42)	Revenue allocation per street light excluding electricity costs		H	PI	↓	£55.10	£13.15	£31.76	£29.20	£47.89
26.2.04 (PI 43)	Capital allocation per street light – replacement		H	PI	↓	No data	£25.92	£33.31	£57.49	£47.61
26.2.05 (PI 01c)	Total investment in infrastructure per street light		H	PI	↓	£267.27	£39.07	£90.62	£86.69	£95.49
26.3.02 (PI 06a)	Energy cost per street lamp		H	Stat	↓	£40.40	£21.36	£37.10	£58.51	£47.72
	Environmental									
27.1.01 (PI 18b)	Average annual electricity consumption per street light (kWHrs)	Y	M	PI	↓	374.34	160.77	329.88	441.48	316.91
27.3.01 (PI 37b)	Co2 emissions (kg) per street light		M	Stat	↓	199.559	85.707	175.860	235.351	168.943
27.3.04 (PI 38b)	% of street lights dimmable		M	Stat	↑	0.02%	98.11%	21.91%	2.60%	24.77%
27.3.03	% change in energy consumption from year to year (kWH)		H	Stat	⬇	-19.92%	0.00%	-7.25%	-12.50%	-3.17%

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4					GRP	5 (City)			Scotland Average				
Performance Indicator Results 2016-17						PIN	8015	8016		Group Average			
Authorities in red have NOT returned data													
					SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	Ideal Position Authority	City of Edinburgh Council	Glasgow City Council	Group Average	Scotland Average	
Ref	Indicator												
	SCOTS headline financial PI												
0.1.01 (PI 63)	Total expenditure by carriageway network length (£ per Km)					Y	H	PI	♦	£15,644	£19,608	£16,223	£11,966
	Customer Service												
3.1.01 (PI 37)	% of customer enquiries/requests for service closed off within Council's own identified response times.						H	PI	↑	No data	59.97%	59.97%	78.02%
3.2.01 (PI 38)	% of abnormal load notifications dealt with in time.						H	PI	↑	3.77%	No data	51.89%	95.25%
3.3.01 (PI 61)	% of enquiries made under the Freedom of Information Act that were dealt with within the allowable time						H	Stat	♦	85.21%	77.63%	86.16%	91.87%
3.3.02	Total number of enquiries received under the Freedom of Information Act						H	Stat	♦	257	152	150	100
	Carriageways												
	Safety												
1.1.01 (PI 03a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times.						M	PI	↑	52.58%	97.35%	87.17%	88.10%
1.2.01 (PI 39)	% of safety inspections completed on time.						H	PI	↑	100.00%	93.30%	82.04%	90.50%
1.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects						M	Stat	↓	1,940	264	580	259
1.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims						H	Stat	↓	309	551	260	116
1.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of carriageway						H	Stat	↓	0.20	0.28	0.18	0.09
1.4.01 (PI 114)	% of carriageway network subject to precautionary salting treatment					Y	H	Stat	♦	80.67%	40.08%	56.39%	48.31%
1.4.02	% carriageway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)						H	Stat	♦	80.67%	86.91%	66.02%	43.32%
1.4.03	Route efficiency (Winter Maintenance operations)						M	Stat	↓	100.00%	46.11%	69.32%	67.05%
1.4.04	Average route length (Winter Maintenance operations)						H	Stat	♦	24.88	106.76	55.95	63.60
1.4.05	Total actual length treated with precautionary treatment (Winter Maintenance operations)						M	Stat	♦	34,131	2,855	12,553	47,570
1.4.06	% top priority routes completed on time (Winter Maintenance operations)						H	Stat	♦	100.00%	-286.21%	-1.27%	85.04%
1.4.07	Total salt usage by total network length						M	Stat	↓	1.58	2.79	4.49	5.22
1.4.08	Total salt usage by total actual precautionary treated length						M	Stat	↓	0.07	1.92	0.91	0.43
1.4.09	Average salt usage (tonnes) per precautionary run						M	Stat	↓	1.74	94.69	25.30	9.74
1.4.10	The stated (policy) time for completion of treatment of your highest priority routes (Winter Maintenance operations)						H	Stat	♦	0.00	5.00	2.50	2.47
1.4.11	The stated (policy) time for mustering (Winter Maintenance operations)						H	Stat	♦	0.00	1.00	0.75	0.93
	Condition/Asset Preservation												
2.1.01 (PI 40)	% of carriageway length to be considered for maintenance treatment					Y	H	PI	↓	34.60%	30.80%	31.45%	36.30%
2.1.02 (PI 41)	% of carriageway length treated					Y	H	PI	♦	1.70%	6.05%	3.97%	3.97%
2.3.01	% of carriageway area – surface dressed						H	Stat	♦	0.26%	0.50%	0.68%	1.88%
2.3.02	% of carriageway area – thin/micro surface (up to 25mm)						H	Stat	♦	0.12%	0.28%	0.29%	0.30%
2.3.03	% of carriageway area – thin overlay (>25mm – 60mm)						H	Stat	♦	0.00%	2.17%	0.83%	0.43%
2.3.04	% of carriageway area – moderate overlay (>60mm – 100mm)						H	Stat	♦	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	0.10%
2.3.05	% of carriageway area – structural overlay (>100mm)						H	Stat	♦	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.01%
2.3.06	% of carriageway area – thin inlay (up to 60mm)						H	Stat	♦	0.26%	0.66%	0.48%	0.43%
2.3.07	% of carriageway area – moderate inlay (>60mm – 100mm)						H	Stat	♦	0.18%	0.08%	0.26%	0.44%
2.3.08	% of carriageway area – structural inlay (>100mm)						H	Stat	♦	0.00%	0.00%	0.08%	0.05%
2.3.14	% of carriageway area – planned patching						H	Stat	♦	0.08%	0.52%	0.21%	0.16%

**Authorities in red have NOT returned data**

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP	5 (City)			Scotland Average
Performance Indicator Results 2016-17					PIN	8015	8016		
Authorities in red have NOT returned data					Ideal Position	City of Edinburgh Council	Glasgow City Council	Group Average	
Ref	Indicator								
2.3.09	% of carriageway area – fully reconstructed		H	Stat	⬇	0.04%	0.00%	0.01%	0.02%
2.3.10 (PI 02d)	% of "A" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	24.15%	29.52%	23.02%	27.14%
2.3.11	% of "B" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	19.90%	18.98%	20.73%	31.79%
2.3.12	% of "C" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	24.94%	16.71%	21.03%	34.15%
2.3.13	% of "U" Class roads to be considered for maintenance treatment		H	Stat	⬇	37.77%	34.65%	34.16%	39.58%
Financial									
6.1.01 (PI 42a)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length	Y	H	PI	⬇	£6,100	£10,132	£6,980	£6,057
6.1.02 (PI 57)	Total cost per Km of carriageway travelled for precautionary salting treatment		M	PI	⬇	£31.33	£192.99	£150.01	£52.76
6.1.03 (PI 42b)	Total carriageway contractor maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length (excluding client cost)		H	PI	⬇	£5,461	£7,921	£5,666	£4,678
6.1.04 (PI 42c)	Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by square metres of carriageway area treated		H	PI	⬇	£85.68	£27.92	£49.79	£37.01
6.3.01	Total cost of addressing total backlog by road length		H	Stat	⬇	£42,250	£43	£26,948	£28,526
6.3.02	Total cost of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£2,098,787	£1,531,818	£1,360,021	£1,188,986
6.3.03	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		H	Stat	⬇	£200,210	£16,259	£74,062	£34,629
6.3.04	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£4,402	£6,564	£4,615	£3,408
6.3.05	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£1,389	£779	£1,171	£923
6.3.06	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		H	Stat	⬇	£439	£578	£461	£427
6.3.08	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		H	Stat	⬆	70.65%	82.86%	75.82%	73.27%
6.3.09	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		M	Stat	⬇	22.29%	9.84%	16.61%	18.21%
6.3.10	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		M	Stat	⬇	7.05%	7.30%	7.57%	8.52%
Footways									
Safety									
11.1.01 (PI 45a)	% of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times		H	PI	⬆	No data	No data	75.00%	67.95%
11.2.01 (PI 46)	% of safety inspections completed on time		M	PI	⬆	22.60%	41.88%	32.24%	81.02%
11.3.01	Total number of Cat 1 defects		L	Stat	⬇	No data	21	11	30
11.3.02	Total number of 3rd party claims		H	Stat	⬇	133	230	105	34
11.3.03	Total number of 3rd party claims per Km of footway		H	Stat	⬇	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.02
11.4.01 (PI 113)	% of footway subject to precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬇	11.24%	11.47%	5.73%	11.16%
11.4.02	% of footway network deemed top priority (Winter Maintenance operations)		L	Stat	⬇	11.24%	11.47%	11.49%	14.89%
11.4.03	Tonnes of salt used		L	Stat	⬇	183	941	405	292
11.4.04	Total actual length treated with precautionary salting treatment		L	Stat	⬇	556.13	8.85	141.24	515.75
11.4.05	Number of grit bins per Km of footway network		H	Stat	⬇	1.23	0.45	0.76	0.81
Condition/Asset Preservation									
12.1.01 (PI 47)	% of footway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	Y	L	PI	⬇	39.25%	12.90%	25.73%	16.13%
12.1.02 (PI 48)	% of footway length treated	Y	M	PI	⬇	No data	2.37%	1.04%	1.03%
12.2.01	% of footway area – surface treated		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	1.40%	0.49%	0.41%
12.2.02	% of footway area – resurfaced		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.20%	0.08%	0.34%
12.2.04	% of footway area – planned patching		H	Stat	⬇	0.00%	0.15%	0.07%	0.04%
12.2.03	% of footway area – reconstructed		H	Stat	⬇	0.48%	0.00%	0.22%	0.18%
Financial									
16.1.01 (PI 49a)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length	Y	L	PI	⬇	£1,887	£460	£896	£1,003
16.1.02 (PI 58)	Cost per Km of footway travelled for salting treatment		L	PI	⬇	£711	No data	£711	£652

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4				SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP	5 (City)			Scotland Average
							PIN	8015	8016		
							Ideal Position Authority	City of Edinburgh Council	Glasgow City Council	Group Average	
Ref	Indicator										
16.1.03 (PI 49b)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length (excluding client cost)		L	PI	⬇	£1,647	£471	£822	£891		
16.1.04 (PI 49c)	Total footway maintenance expenditure by square metres of footway area treated		L	PI	⬇	£181.80	£10.52	£90.03	£63.41		
16.3.01	Total cost of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£807,266	£202,223	£325,423	£162,146		
16.3.02	Total settled cost of 3rd party public liability claims		L	Stat	⬇	£403,524	£55,312	£155,750	£36,549		
16.3.03	Expenditure per km of planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£1,391	£392	£672	£756		
16.3.04	Expenditure per km of reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	£381	£66	£178	£144		
16.3.05	Expenditure per km of routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	No data	£13	£13	£196		
16.3.07	% of budget spent on planned maintenance		L	Stat	⬆	78.52%	83.34%	80.40%	85.34%		
16.3.08	% of budget spent on reactive maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	21.48%	13.93%	18.92%	14.98%		
16.3.09	% of budget spent on routine maintenance		L	Stat	⬇	0.00%	2.73%	0.68%	5.84%		
Structures											
Safety											
31.1.01 (PI 300)	% of principal inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	45.45%	38.46%	43.90%	75.48%		
31.1.02 (PI 301)	% of general inspections carried out on time		H	PI	⬆	100.00%	100.00%	96.15%	84.45%		
Condition/Asset Preservation											
32.1.01 (PI 302)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - average BSCLav	Y	H	PI	⬆	79.89	83.66	84.94	86.41		
32.1.02 (PI 303)	Bridge Stock Condition Indicator - critical BSCLcrit	Y	H	PI	⬆	79.78	68.40	75.34	77.71		
32.3.01	% of bridges subject to monitoring/special inspection regimes		H	Stat	⬇	0.94%	2.72%	3.29%	2.68%		
32.3.02	No of Council owned bridges failing assessment		H	Stat	⬇	48	20	18	24		
32.3.03	No of privately owned bridges failing assessment on Council road network		H	Stat	⬇	8	63	19	6		
Functionality											
34.1.01 (PI 304)	% of Council owned bridges failing European standards		H	PI	⬇	6.45%	10.87%	5.48%	3.34%		
34.2.01 (PI 305)	% of Council road bridges with unacceptable weight, height or width restriction		H	PI	⬇	1.75%	7.61%	4.36%	1.63%		
34.3.01	No of Council bridges weight restricted (excluding acceptable weight restrictions)		H	Stat	⬇	1	1	2	4		
34.3.02	No of Council bridges with imposed height / width restriction (for year on year comparison)		H	Stat	⬇	12	13	9	4		
34.3.03	No of Council bridges with acceptable weight restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	3	4	2	5		
34.3.04	No of Council bridges with imposed width restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	2	2	1	1		
34.3.05	No of Council bridges with imposed height restriction (new Stat for 16-17)		H	Stat	⬇	10	11	8	2		
Financial											
36.1.01 (PI 306)	Annual budget allocated as a % of cost of identified work (from AMP)		L	PI	⬆	0.97%	5.06%	29.99%	33.70%		
36.2.01 (PI 307)	% of allocated budget spent per annum		L	PI	⬆	No data	62.30%	40.89%	61.01%		
36.2.02 (PI 308)	Cost of identified potential work as a % of total structures valuation		L	PI	⬇	7.74%	7.02%	3.87%	52.81%		
36.3.01	% of budget spent repairing 3rd party damage		L	Stat	⬇	0.00%	4.59%	3.39%	4.81%		
36.3.02	Cost to remove unacceptable restrictions by weight/height/width		L	Stat	⬇	£0	£12,735,000	£3,183,750	£1,850,004		
Traffic Management Systems											
Safety											
41.1.01 (PI 55)	% of faults rectified within target time	Y	H	Stat	⬆	100.00%	93.29%	97.34%	95.06%		
41.1.02 (PI 56)	% of faults rectified on first visit		M	Stat	⬆	No data	89.10%	94.21%	91.70%		

**Authorities in red have NOT returned data**

SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <small>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</small>					SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	GRP	5 (City)				Scotland Average
								PIN	8015	8016			
								Ideal Position Authority	5 (City) City of Edinburgh Council	Glasgow City Council	Group Average		
Ref	Indicator												
	<b>Financial</b>												
46.1.01	% of Traffic Management Systems expenditure which is planned maintenance spend					L	Stat	⬆	41.47%	55.76%	45.89%	62.12%	
	<b>Street Furniture</b>												
	<b>Financial</b>												
56.1.01	% of total Roads & Lighting expenditure which is spent on Street Furniture					L	Stat	⬆	1.16%	2.05%	1.46%	1.81%	
	<b>All assets service delivery</b>												
	<b>Safety</b>												
61.1.01 (PI 60)	Km inspected per Safety Inspector (carriageways & footways)					H	Stat	⬆	1,423.95	No data	1,423.95	1,214.93	



SCOTS Road Asset Management Project - Task 4 Performance Indicator Results 2016-17 <small>Authorities in red have NOT returned data</small>		SCOTS Executive PI	Confidence rating (H, M, L)	PI / Stat	Ideal Position Authority	5 (City)			Scotland Average
Ref	Indicator					GRP	PIN		
						City of Edinburgh Council	Glasgow City Council	Group Average	
Street Lighting									
Safety									
21.2.01 (PI 39)	% of columns with a valid structural inspection (last 6 years)		L	PI	↑	No data	No data	0.00%	55.16%
21.2.02 (PI 40)	% of street lanterns with a valid Electrical Test Certificate.		H	PI	↑	8.98%	12.89%	30.31%	57.58%
Condition/Asset Preservation									
22.2.01 (PI 29a)	Routine faults as a % of street lighting stock	Y	H	PI	↓	15.13%	26.77%	17.90%	13.13%
22.2.02	% of columns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life	Y	M	Stat	↓	No data	45.48%	32.39%	29.62%
22.2.03	% of lanterns which have exceeded their Expected Service Life		L	Stat	↓	No data	63.01%	33.16%	25.27%
22.3.02	% of columns replaced		M	Stat	⬇	0.09%	0.15%	1.70%	2.20%
22.3.03	% of lanterns replaced		M	Stat	⬇	0.00%	8.27%	4.72%	13.36%
Customer Service									
23.1.01 (PI 03)	% of repairs within 7 days	Y	H	PI	↑	No data	No data	74.12%	89.94%
23.2.01 (PI 20)	Average time taken to repair (days)		H	PI	↓	No data	9.71	8.42	5.49
23.2.02 (PI 27)	Public calls as a % of faults		M	PI	⬇	159.71%	No data	91.16%	81.14%
23.2.03 (PI 28)	Public calls as a % of street lights		M	PI	⬇	24.17%	No data	14.46%	10.26%
23.3.01	% of street lights giving modern white light		M	Stat	↑	30.55%	21.58%	46.10%	53.78%
23.3.02	% of street lights which are LED		M	Stat	↑	13.35%	11.03%	14.16%	35.13%
Availability									
24.3.01	Number of night inspections annually		H	Stat	⬇	0	No data	8	5
Financial									
26.1.01 (PI 35)	Actual capital investment as a % of annual depreciation (from AMP)		M	PI	↑	No data	37.43%	58.38%	93.02%
26.1.02 (PI 36)	Depreciated Replacement Cost (DRC) as a % of Gross Replacement Cost (GRC)		M	PI	↓	No data	42.00%	46.62%	53.44%
26.2.01 (PI 33)	Average cost (client) of repairing routine faults (eg component replacement)		L	PI	↓	No data	£240.36	£140.49	£105.75
26.2.02 (PI 34b)	Individual cost of night inspecting a street light per light		M	PI	↓	No data	No data	£0.07	£0.05
26.2.03 (PI 42)	Revenue allocation per street light excluding electricity costs		H	PI	↓	£22.39	£71.70	£42.80	£28.52
26.2.04 (PI 43)	Capital allocation per street light – replacement		H	PI	↓	£23.98	£25.95	£38.76	£41.26
26.2.05 (PI 01c)	Total investment in infrastructure per street light		H	PI	↓	£46.37	£97.66	£81.55	£88.87
26.3.02 (PI 06a)	Energy cost per street lamp		H	Stat	↓	No data	£60.80	£55.68	£39.90
Environmental									
27.1.01 (PI 18b)	Average annual electricity consumption per street light (kWhrs)	Y	M	PI	↓	426.41	554.22	434.75	327.30
27.3.01 (PI 37b)	Co2 emissions (kg) per street light		M	Stat	↓	227.319	295.455	231.767	174.49
27.3.04 (PI 38b)	% of street lights dimmable		M	Stat	↑	13.35%	9.51%	12.56%	19.78%
27.3.03	% change in energy consumption from year to year (kWh)		H	Stat	⬇	-2.99%	-4.83%	-5.87%	-8.35%